Up, Down, Fuller Around

by Ira Moskowitz

"If you think of up and down, then you're still thinking of flat earth."

Inventor, Prophet, and social critic Buckminster Fuller is hanging up on his conceptual wall, flat earth. In a recent news conference announcing an MGM projectile into the vast wasteland, Fuller and North American Science Director Kraft Ehrlich described their concept of a "sustainable" world, an unfulfilled vision and a technical conception of an orbiting society in which the limited resources of a closed system are unavoidable.

Fuller, popular with young people for his outspoken theories about ecological survival, used the show business blurh session to expound his reflections on the society of the future. "No scientist," says Fuller, "has to examine the plumbing. We use 60 tons of water each year in one volume." The septuagenarian visionary often speaks in such succinct, 20-year-old terms. He continues, 'there has been a 40:1 difference in the number of people being helped by modern technology. In the next fifty the difference will be 80:1.'

-Continued on Page Five-

News Briefs

BOC Approves Rudd Loan- Sets By Laws Election Date

by Alex Seita

This column reviews the weekly meeting of the ASCIT Board of Directors. The summary of issues proposed, legislation enacted, and petitions taken by the Board is given together with a general commentary on student activities. This article differs from the Board's minutes which are the official record (available on request) of the ASCIT corporation.

At last week's meeting, the BOD played the role of provider as it approved a loan to Ruddock House and financial assistance for the YMCA-sponsored Chinese ConfERENCE. As house dues, which are collected by the Institute, haven't yet been received by Ruddock for the second term, a loan of $300 (to be repaid by February 1, 1971) was granted to Ruddock to cover current house bills and expenditures.

In addition, funds of $250 were given toward defraying part of the China Conference's costs. Involving two days of intensive exposure to the history, character, and import of China, the Conference will open itself to all members of the Caltech Community with opportunities for seminars, lectures, and a weekend retreat. The ASCIT funding will pay for a sixteenth of total costs.

In negotiating the loan and financial assistance, the Board felt that Ruddock's need for the loan was justifiable and that the China Conference would benefit the student body.

Announcements

The By-Laws change election dealing with the jurisdiction of the Board of Control will be held tomorrow. Administrations for 1971-72 coffeehouse managers were opened on January 14 and will close after an indefinite period. ASCIT elections for the Board of Directors will tentatively be held during the middle of February with nominations for the offices to be opened at the end of January or early February.

-Continued on Page Seven-
Readers Differ on By-Laws Change

The administration will discuss such matters as keeping universities financially solvent, dealing with controversial special laboratories, changes in undergraduate curricula, and the problems of grad schools. (One Caltech administrator tells us that the main topic of discussion will be the relative merits of Caltech's and MIT's football teams.)

Top of the Week

The Division of Humanities is reviewing its freshman year program, as well as its other offerings. In particular, "The Admissions Committee is meeting next week to plot against the next half of freshmen." A new parking lot reserved for undergraduates will be ready "within 30 days," we are told. The lot replaces a couple of old houses just north of the grad houses.

Have you seen the map of the U.S., appropriately adorned with the names and home towns of Techers? Look for it on the Olive Walk. . . Does Vitamin P present colds as Linus Pauling claims? A project may soon originate to test the claim. Orange juice, anyone?

Etaoin Shrdlu Winners

One of the last columns has two real winners for the infamous Etaoin Shrdlu Award for Headsenest: Above and Beyond the Call of Duty. First, to the City of Pasadena, for seeking to burn high sulfur oil in the Arroyo at the freeway entrance. (The oil the city seeks to burn, and already locked, contains 2.5% sulfur; variance is required to burn oil containing more than 0.5% sulfur). Anyone who has driven past the plant can smell some of the consequences.

Our other winner is the economy, for showing the first decrease in real GNP (the total value of goods and services produced in terms of constant value dollars) since 1958.

PE Requirement Changed

by millikan troll

The faculty voted to retain the Physical Education requirement, but to limit it to three terms required anytime prior to graduation. This replaces the current requirement of six terms of P.E. completed within the first nine terms, with two consecutive terms of failure in P.E. resulting in graduation.

Presumably, this new version of the P.E. requirement will apply retroactively, replacing those who have the requisite number of terms behind them but may be exempt from further P.E. work. It is up to the Board to have this item be haggled out by the Faculty Board.

Exchanges Come True

Reciprocal agreements to exchange students for between a term and a year have come to pass between Caltech and Pomona, Swarthmore, and Williams Colleges. Any student interested in spending some time at another institution without the red tape of a normal transfer (i.e., having to write the B.O.C.'s say-so alone), should see Dr. Huttenback. As stated in this column last week, arrangements for such a system must be worked out in advance for such a system to work. The possibility of eliminating the possibility of double jeopardy, and safeguards against the accused from both the appearance and the actuality of unfairness. Naturally, this alternative hardly represents the only possibility. It is just another alternative we can look at.

Thus, we conclude that for all of its merits, the BOC's proposal has defects of sufficient seriousness to warrant its defeat. We urge a vote of "NO" on the issue in tomorrow's election.

Philip M. Neches
Ira D. Moskatel
-Paul A. Lenin

NO on BOC Amendment

Tomorrow ASCIT members will vote on a matter which we hope will never have any practical application. We refer, of course, to the change to the ASCIT By-Laws proposed by the Board of Control giving that body jurisdiction over matters involving campus disruptions.

The Board of Control describes the new By-Laws as arguing for it in a memorandum distributed to all undergraduates. Many of the principles stated in that memorandum cannot be disputed. Indeed, a student accused of perpetrating campus disruptions can have his case reviewed by his peers rather than an administration or faculty group. We further must agree with the Board of Control's reasoning that the Honor System does not apply in the usual manner to a campus disruption.

The memorandum argues further that the Board of Control is the only established group with any ability to handle such a matter at all, and that formation of another group would be unwarranted. With this, too, we must agree.

However, we cannot agree with the procedure the Board of Control proposes for handling a case of alleged campus disruption. We find that the BOC's plan leaves too many possibilities unaccounted for, and thus opens the possibility of either the appearance or actuality of unfairness.

The Board plan effectively exposes the accused disruptor to double jeopardy, in that his case is first considered as an Honor System violation, in seeming contradiction to their previous statement that disruptions would not come under the Honor System per se. By simple majority vote of its members, the BOC would be empowered to consider the case apart from the Honor System, i.e., as a "campus disruption."

Since such activities for a campus disruption would probably be enforced as a leave of absence or expulsion, these being the only major sanctions an educational institution can take against someone within it short of going to the courts, it seems unfair that the same person can be tried by similar punishment for the same set of circumstances. We cannot condone this procedural defect in the Board's proposal, no matter how much we are willing to have for that body.

Further, in the emotionally charged atmosphere which would undoubtedly be present in the event of a campus disruption, we would not trust the Board of Control to be fair. The Board makes no provision for the very real possibility that a member of the Board would be involved in one way or another with the incident, or have such strong feelings against the matter as to disqualify him from rendering an unbiased judgement.

For that matter, one cannot predict in advance who will be biased in such a matter and who will remain impartial. We cannot think of any body, the Board of Control included, which would guarantee impartiality with members chosen in advance of the incident.

This does not mean that the Board of Control cannot deal with its ordinary cases; for matters of academic honesty do not involve the sort of sensitivity of suffering similar punishment for the sake of the number of a case. It is no so in the ordinary Honor System matters; it need not be so in case of a campus disruption.

Also, the distinct possibility exists that the informal and normally closed procedures of the Board of Control would serve to increase tensions rather than ameliorate them.

As an alternative, we would suggest that the BOC be empowered to return an indictment for campus disruption, but that the actual hearing be returned to the Associated Students of the California Institute of Technology, Incorporated. The opinion expressed in this column is that of the author and do not necessarily reflect the opinion of the student staff. Continued on Page Four

THE ASCIT MOVIES

THIS FRIDAY:

They Shoot Horses, Don't They?

Culbertson Hall, 7:30 p.m. and 10:00 p.m.

Admission 75¢

Next week: Comedy Night
Too Much Too Soon

by Nick Smith

When a student organization becomes convinced of its own infallibility, something must be done, something drastic enough toattle that group out of its self-proclaimed godhood.

As of this writing, there is an ASCIT By-Laws election set for Thursday, January 21st. Last week, in this column and in the Forum, several flaws were pointed out in this revision. Those opinions have set the stage for what may very well be a hotly contested election.

Wanted: By-Laws Writer

When confronted with the various statements made in last week's articles, one member of the ASCIT BOD made a statement that amounted to "Well, there are things wrong with it (the By-Laws revision), but we can change those things later." However, in the meantime, ASCIT would be stuck with an all-powerful BOC, a prospect not to be relished. Why can't those changes be made all at once, if they are important enough to be made at all?

In addition, various things have led me to trust the concept of the BOC less and less. For example, did you know that they are even now operating outside of the By-Laws? In the By-Laws it states that the decisions of the BOC are submitted to the faculty for final action. This is not done. In actuality, I have been informed, the decision is submitted to the Deans, and at that point the penalty is generally toned down as being too strict.

No Experience Needed

There is no real appeal from a BOC decision, unless the defendant can show cause for a re-hearing. The BOC doesn't even have the most elementary safeguards, such as the right of a defendant to challenge the composition of the presiding board. Until a couple of years ago, the defendant was considered guilty of another violation if he spoke of the proceedings to anyone not continued on page six

by Leonidas J. Guibes

Q.1. Why did the BOC produce a memorandum on campus disruptions in the first place?

1. As expressed in the memorandum itself, the ASCIT Board of Directors and the Board of Control were charged by the President's Ad-Hoc Group on Possible Disruption with establishing procedures for undergraduates involved in a campus disruption. As more relevant to its concerns and competence, and with the approval of the ASCIT BOD, the BOC took upon itself this responsibility.

Independently of the above, it has seemed to the BOC that the establishment of such peer-based judicial procedures is in the student interest. Judging from the experience at other schools, such procedures have proven neutral for a fair administration of justice after a campus disruption. These two factors then led to the writing of the distributed memorandum.

Q.2. Why should not disruptive behavior, as defined in the Final Report be labeled a violation of the Honor System?

A.2. The BOC had taken the position that disruptive behavior need not constitute a violation of the Honor System. It is, of course, true that in most concrete situations one could imagine, disruptive behavior would undoubtedly be a violation. On the other hand, the BOC felt that it would be a bad precedent, and it could considerably weaken an already fragile Honor System, to universally label such actions as violations. The reasons for this are simply stated in the memorandum and need not be repeated here.

Q.3. Doesn't the BOC already have jurisdiction over disruptive activities, as they all seem to voice the suspicion of an Honor System violation?

A.3. Yes, if one is willing to make the indirect argument that some member of the Caltech community and is taking advantage of by the disruptive behavior. Under the heated circumstances of a disruption, however, it is unlikely that such arguments will carry the force they have in the event of an ordinary violation. Asserting who has taken advantage of whom during a disruption may be an exceedingly difficult task. Thus the BOC felt it would be wiser if it considered such cases only after it had been given an opportunity to deliberate, explicit authorization, by the Student Body to do so. The proposed By-Laws change would provide the BOC with this authority.

Q.4. Why wouldn't the BOC be content with handling disruptive behavior only to the extent that it fell under the Honor System?

A.4. The criteria defined in the Final Report, the official institute document, for disruptive actions, are nowhere stated to fall under the Honor System. The BOC is perturbed by the possibility that although a person involved in a campus disruption may be guilty of an Honor System violation, the administration might still apply sanctions against him. This might be done under the pretext that the BOC had not really considered the case as disruptive behavior, under the criteria defined in the Final Report. Thus there are two possible alternatives: Either disruptive behavior is included under the Honor System, or a certain body is to be given the authority to consider the case as a campus disruption in the full context of the Final Report. Why the first alternative is undesirable has already been explained. We discuss the second in a while.

continued on page seven

Coming Up!

2000 A.D.

An Engineer who can make it with RCA will be part of an amazing future.

When you measure achievement, no industry can surpass either the past record or future potential of electronics.

When you're part of a company that is as diverse in all areas of technology as RCA, you are in for an exhilarating ride to the top of your profession.

We develop new technologies—new products—using the total systems concept.

We are heavily involved in all areas of computer engineering—both hardware and software. In fact we are a total communications company. This includes defense and commercial electronic systems, electronic components, and solid state devices of the most advanced kind.

But these are only the major areas that concern our engineers and scientists today.

Tomorrow is coming up awfully fast.

You can start your career in one of numerous technical areas.

Electronic or mechanical engineers and Computer Science majors should take the first step by contacting your College Placement Director, or write directly to RCA College Relations, Dept. F, Cherry Hill, Camden, New Jersey 08101. We are an equal opportunity employer.

On Campus Interviews

February 17, 1971

We cordially invite California Tech students and faculty members to bank with us.

Complete banking services including:

Auto Bank, 24-hour drive-up tellers
Auto Checks
Certificate of Deposit Accounts
Checking by electronic transfers
Checking by mail

For more information about our safe, convenient banking services, call your nearest Citizens, Commercial Trust & Savings Bank or Citizens, Commercial Trust & Savings Bank of Pasadena.

Office head: 930 E. Colorado Blvd., Pasadena

Catalina Office: 810 E. Colorado Blvd., Pasadena

Colorado Office: 930 E. Colorado Blvd., Pasadena

San Marino Office: 715 S. San Marino Ave., San Marino

La Canada Office: 150 N. Sierra Madre Villa, La Canada

Citizens, Commercial Trust & Savings Bank of Pasadena

We are an equal opportunity employer.
The society has no weapons and the entire population votes electronically (man to man? ...). A lot of effort has been put into the design of the architecture of the space station to create the necessary basis for interesting plots.

Spinning Spokes

The station, as Dr. Ehricke sees it, consists of a gravity-free axis with ten story radial apartment buildings attached to it. The whole system rotates slowly to create an artificial coriolus gravity. Regular shuttles run between earth and the station.

So far it sounds like any science fiction writers conceptualization of a space station. The head writers, William Read Woodfield and Allan Balter, sound reasonably sincere in their desire to make the series realistic and ambitious in their attempt to represent the kinds of future space stations possible in the very near future. "Star Trek" was a series which dealt with the human problems of advanced technology well beyond the range of present possibility. The series was entertaining and provocative. "Earth II" sounds like it might be the basis for a valuable addition to the man-made video-void.

I am certainly not suggesting that under normal conditions, the BOC members are incapable of being fair and impartial. I am strongly suggesting that under crisis, normal communications cease, no one could claim to put my faith in a BOC which may turn out to be composed of highly biased individuals, and which is not bound by a system of fair impartial process, but more by the whim of the BOC members.

I am convinced that a system of fair and impartial process, but more by the whim of the BOC members. I am certainly not suggesting that under normal conditions, the BOC members are incapable of being fair and impartial. For example, consider a system of closed hearings (not too likely I admit) that would attempt to represent the kinds of unfair procedures that can be designed to circumvent any judicial atrocities, without unduly hampering the fair operation of the BOC. I am convinced that such a system can be set up. In any case it is much easier to assure the impartiality of laws than of people. Please vote NO.

Sincerely, Ctein

---

Interesting in managing a $15,000 a year business?

Get in on the low pay but good fringe benefits of managing the Caltech Coffeehouse.

Contact either Sra Sando or Dave Turner (before midnight, please) at the Coffeehouse for application information.

---

VENUS THEATER

2226 E. Colorado Blvd.
Pasadena

Open 11:00 a.m. — 2:30 a.m. Daily
Sundays Noon — Midnight

For Sexy Info Call
"The Voice": 796-8118

Pasadena’s most unique, daring, and controversial theater

Where everyone can view the most avant-garde movies ever.

Featuring this week: Jan. 19—25

DIRECTORY B.C.

Students admitted at a special discount rate

Free membership cards now available

Starting Jan. 26

Poolside Escapades

A completely new show every Tues.
When the sex scenes become so explicit, that the least offensive parts of a film, it has really gone past the bounds of good taste. Ken Russell's film, The Music Lovers, has to be one of the most hideous films ever produced. While it is quite probably historically accurate, its impact is so unnecessarily tremendous that the audience is left feeling absolutely rotten. There is so much sex, just 122 minutes of pure hell. In an attempt to cope with the choral, both Tchaikovsky and his mother were boiled alive (but to no avail). Most of the scenes in the insane asylum were unnecessary or over-done. The film traces the pathetic destruction of the composer and those around him. Tchaikovsky's (a homosexual) wanted marriage without a wife. His (a wife who truly loved him) wanted a husband with or without marriage. His benefactress wanted an unseen lover without marriage. His (a husband) at least he hope he can't. Perhaps he should be given a hot bath to put him out of his misery. —Paul Levin

When a Lady isapplauded by 30 million people, she owes them an encore. Warner Bros. again presents My Fair Lady for your enjoyment.

WINNER OF 8 ACADEMY AWARDS INCLUDING BEST PICTURE

AUDREY HEPBURN - REX HARRISON

STANLEY HOLLOWAY - WALTER HOGAN - GAYLE GRYN

TEDDIE BRIEL - ROBERT CLARKE

TECHNOLOGY SUPPLIED BY TECHNICOLOR FULL STEREOPHONIC SOUND

EXCLUSIVE ENGAGEMENT STARTS JANUARY 22 8:00 PM

WILSHIRE

2986 Huntington Drive

(3 doors west of San Gabriel)

Would You Believe? 1800' of recording tape for just one dollar? *

That's Right! San Marino Hi Fi & Stereo

Under new ownership

Finest Equipment — Reasonable Prices

2986 Huntington Drive

* as long as supply lasts

Shakespeare's Othello, and Shaw's Major Barbara. For information, write or call the Music Center, 135 N. Grand Ave., Los Angeles, California 90012 (626-5781).

Conventions Committee Seeks Graduation Speaker The Conventions Committee is interested in students' suggestions for a graduation speaker in June. January is not too early to make such arrangements. Anyone who has any ideas on this matter should contact Bruce Reznick in Blacker House, or leave a note in his box.
involved, even to complain of unfair treatment. And ASCIT wants to give that kind of a broad discretionary powers in case of a campus disruption? Why not just call in Judge Julius Hoffman to chair the proceedings, with appeals given only to Ronald Reagan for arbitration?

As if all that weren't enough to make one doubt the wisdom of putting power into the hands of the BOC, here's something else: it was not until this week that the BOC began considering what to do in cases where the Honor System has been violated by someone who is not a member of ASCIT. Yes, that entire amendment was proposed and written without planning for the contingency of non-ASCIT members causing campus disruptions.

Or Competence, Either

Supposedly, the BOC and the ASCIT BOD spent two terms deciding upon the amendment to the By-Laws concerning campus disruptions. If they spent that much time and accomplished that little, I think the job of writing the revisions should be turned over to someone else. In the case of an actual campus disruption, the proposed By-Laws revisions would have an effect directly proportional to the competence of the reigning BOC. If the BOC at the time of a disruption proved to be weak-willed, the amendment is without practical value. If they proved to be too strongly-motivated against the disruption, they would have the power to purge the campus along Stalinist lines. Remember, under the new By-Laws, only one person would have to complaint, and there seems to be nothing to prevent that person from being on the BOC at the time.

Should the BOC be given wide discretionary powers? Has the BOC proven itself competent to handle major changes? Should Mr. Guibas' By-Laws revisions be accepted? I say no to all three, and it is my fervent hope that the ASCIT By-Laws revisions are defeated in the election this Friday.

That might even make ASCIT stop and think, if it still can.

Wrestlers

Continued from Page Eight

year, with both the team championship and the Outstanding Wrestler Awards. Pomona moved up from third to second, taking first place in three weight divisions. Rounding out the lineup were teams from Azusa-Pacific, Cal State L.A., Caltech, Claremont-Harvey Mudd, La Verne, L.A. Trade Tech, Pasadena College, San Fernando Valley State, UC Riverside, Redlands, and Whittier College.

Randy Lewis was the only member of the Caltech team to take a first place, defeating his opponents to win in the 134 lb. class. Rick Smoody was taken down at 190 lbs. to capture second place, and Bruce Johnson, last year's heavyweight champion, was finally defeated in overtime and took second also. Gary Zieve defeated his opponent in the consolation finals to take third place at 190 lbs.

Campers don't mean to start forest fires but they do.
If you take pride in being a good campfire builder, save some for being a good putter outer.
Leaving a fire to smolder alone is just inviting an inferno.
And there are few enough good spots to go around now.
Douse before you drive away. Only you can prevent forest fires.
Continued In Defense Of BOC Amendment

Q.5. But why is the BOC, for example, better fit to pass judgment on such case as the administration?

A.5. Suffice it to mention that the BOC is directed elected by the students, it is taken members of the administration which obtain their authority from the President. Outside powers are thus less likely to influence the Board of Control than others, whose very livelihood depends on those higher up in the administration.

Q.6. Why, though, the BOC instead of some other permanent student group?

A.6. The argument for this is given in the memorandum and there is no need to duplicate it here.

Q.7. Why should not a jury be elected or appointed after the disruption?

A.7. Election of a jury after the disruption could develop into a political struggle of the first magnitude. The deleterious effects of this could be fatal to the principals of justice and fairness. If the jury if to be appointed, the question arises by whom, by what permanent body? This would be a return to a previous question. Why should, for example, be the BOC better qualified to select a jury than to be the jury itself? In any case, selection of a jury in the tense atmosphere following a disruption may be plagued by the same difficulties as a direct election by the students.

Q.8. Wouldn't a student-faculty group be better than the Board of Control?

A.8. Possibly. A number of students, however, feel that they should have the right to be tried by a group of their peers only. It is difficult to deny them this right. This principle of trial-by-peers has been fully incorporated into the Final Report and has thus become the Institute policy. A change would be difficult and time consuming. At the same time it should be emphasized that although each peer group would be independent of the others judicially, close cooperation between them is going to be a major part of a system already made in the memorandum.

Q.9. Aren't the procedures proposed by the BOC really rather vague?

A.9. Yes. It has seemed to the BOC that what should be done at this stage is to simply establish some general guidelines. Those include a) disruptive behavior will be handled by the BOC and b) the BOC will handle cases in full accordance with principles laid down in the Final Report and with the Board's recent pronouncement of the spirit of those used for the Honor System violations. Further elaboration of procedures should be done only after a good deal of more thought has been given and some experience accumulated concerning the problems likely to come up. In the meantime it seemed preferable to try and improvise as they see fit in a particular case, rather than bind their hands by ill-thought out and unnecessarily restrictive procedures.

Q.10. Why should hearings be closed?

A.10. This was originally so stated simply because the BOC wanted to handle disruptive behavior in a manner entirely analogous to Honor System violations. Clearly a defendant should have the right to remain unidentified if he so desires. On the other hand, but the same token, he should also have the option of an open hearing. Further discussion on this point convinced the BOC that its procedures could be carried out perfectly adequately, if not better, in a public forum. This further consideration is also estensively consulted with the faculty and the administration of the Honor System. It has already been stated that any disruptive action would entail the suspension of an Honor System violation. It is the intent of the BOC to always handle it first as a Honor System violation.

Q.11. Why weren't there some more alternatives presented?

A.11. Although the BOC did not want to present in principle disruptive behavior as a violation of the Honor System, it is felt that procedurally it made good sense to subordinate considerations on disruptive actions per se to those involving the Honor System. It has already been stated that any disruptive action would entail the suspension of an Honor System violation. It is the intent of the BOC to always handle it first as a Honor System violation. If the Board, however, finds that no advantage has been taken in the cause of the Honor System, it can vote to further consider the case as disruptive behavior only at this time. This further consideration is necessitated by the arguments given in our answer to Q.4. The above form and intended to explain the motivation behind the third and fourth paragraphs of the resolution. Since disruptions and violations are not identified, consideration under two formally distinct criteria are necessitated. On the other hand, and conviction of a violation of the Honor System automatically terminates any consideration of the case as disruption only. It should then be absolutely clear that no one can be convicted twice for the same offense.

Q.12. Why should hearings be closed?

A.12. Although the BOC did not want to present in principle disruptive behavior as a violation of the Honor System, it is felt that procedurally it made good sense to subordinate considerations on disruptive actions per se to those involving the Honor System. It has already been stated that any disruptive action would entail the suspension of an Honor System violation. It is the intent of the BOC to always handle it first as a Honor System violation. If the Board, however, finds that no advantage has been taken in the cause of the Honor System, it can vote to further consider the case as disruptive behavior only at this time. This further consideration is necessitated by the arguments given in our answer to Q.4. The above form and intended to explain the motivation behind the third and fourth paragraphs of the resolution. Since disruptions and violations are not identified, consideration under two formally distinct criteria are necessitated. On the other hand, and conviction of a violation of the Honor System automatically terminates any consideration of the case as disruption only. It should then be absolutely clear that no one can be convicted twice for the same offense.

Q.13. Wouldn't the proposed change in the wording of the By-Laws make the interpretation of disruptive behavior as falling totally under the Honor System?

A.13. Yes, it would in each particular case. But then this is no different from the present situation where BOC can decide that disruptive behavior has constituted a violation. On the other hand it could in no way be continued as implying that it obligates that BOC make such a conviction.

Q.14. Did the BOC try to obtain input from the students on this issue before drafting the memorandum?

A.14. Yes. The BOC Reps tried to have discussion at their houses on this very issue last spring. They talked to a certain degree to freshmen this fall. An article in the California Tech last May contained many of the ideas incorporated in the memorandum. There were several published fusli-
Swimmers Place Third

by Bob Klauckhofar

Last Saturday the swim team ignored the inviting clear air and bright sun to enter the steamy indoor Redlands pool and finish third in the SCIAC relay meet. (Pre-season "authorities" had predicted a first-place finish for the Tech swimmers.) Although the Techers lacked speed, they swam a conservative meet and beat the Redlands and Pomona teams while losing to a strong Claremont-Harvey Mudl team and to Occidental.

Coach Lawlor Beck's strategy was to enter a team in each race and to be contented with gaining points while finishing last or near-last in each race. Since Pomona and Redlands had too few swimmers to enter teams in each race, this strategy paid off. Also, two Occidental disqualifications gave the Techers added points.

Manager Lorne Schachter and SUBSCRIBE to the KEEP THEM INFORMED $1.50 per term; $4.00 per year.

Citrus JC Douses Tech Rugby Saturday 6—3

by Roger Gans

Citrus Junior College scored a disputed try in the last few minutes of Saturday's rugby game to defeat Caltech 6-3. A penalty kick had been awarded Citrus at the Caltech five yard line, and Citrus elected to run from the kick. The run led to a loose ball at the Caltech two, from which Citrus ran to the blind side. Joel Adler, defending for Caltech, and the Citrus man, touched the ball down together, and the referee ruled that a try had been scored. The conversion attempt failed. Time ran out before Caltech was able to mount a drive.

The game was sloppy, especially in the second half as both teams tired in the near ninety degree heat. Citrus scored a try in the first half and failed to convert, making the halftime score 3-0. Caltech's only score came on a penalty kick by Jean-Pierre Dolait midway through the second half, tying the score 3-3. Caltech had two near tries. The first came when a quick throw-in caught the Citrus defense unaware. Joe Davis appeared to score, but had touched the sideline on his way in. The second came on a fine break by Paul Barstad, who eluded three men and gained forty yards before finally being brought down.

Until dinner time. At 6:30 p.m. the consolation finals took place, with contestants battling for third place, and at 7:30 p.m. the finals started in earnest, with the championship in contention. Thirteen schools took part in the year's tournament. UC San Diego, which tied for first with Tech last year, ran off with top honors.

Continued on Page Six

Europe — Israel — East Africa

* Student travel discounts
* Official SQAIA agent for over 2000 inter-European student charter flights
* International student ID card
* 2 month student European $425
* 3 weeks camping in Russia and Czechoslovakia $156
* 5 weeks camping in Spain, Portugal, and Morocco $230
* London-Capetown 7 week African Safari $641

CONTACT: ISCA
11687 San Vicente Blvd., No. 4
Los Angeles, CA 90049
(213) 826-5669

AUTOMATIC TRANSMISSION SPECIALISTS
792-6104
26 N. Hill (at Colorado)

10% discount to all Caltech students and faculty

SPECIAL DISCOUNTED

STUDENT AUTO INSURANCE

43% AVERAGE SAVINGS
NO SACRIFICE OF COVERAGE OR SERVICE
* PAYMENT PLAN TO FIT YOUR BUDGET
* LICENSED BY STATE OF CALIF. DEPT. OF INSURANCE
* NO MEMBERSHIP FEE REQUIRED
* PLACEMENT GUARANTEED

COLLEGE STUDENT INSURANCE SERVICE
5440 Pomona Blvd., L.A.
(213) 723-0712
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Thursday, January 21, 1971

STEAMY BRIGHT

"MY HEART SOARS LIKE AN EAGLE" — now I just hope that I land like a seen." —Photo by Fisher

Tech Grapplers Third in Tech Invitational

by John Fisher

The Caltech wrestling team grappled its way to third place in the Second Caltech Invitational Wrestling Tournament held last Saturday.

Cheers from the stands, curses from the coaches, and sweaty bodies were all in evidence in the preliminaries as the contestants struggled on three mats from noon until dinner time. At 6:30 p.m. the consolation finals took place, with contestants battling for third place, and at 7:30 p.m. the finals started in earnest, with the championship in contention. Thirteen schools took part in this year's tournament. UC San Diego, which tied for first with Tech last year, ran off with top honors.

Continued on Page Six

Europe — Israel — East Africa

* Student travel discounts
* Official SQAIA agent for over 2000 inter-European student charter flights
* International student ID card
* 2 month student European $425
* 3 weeks camping in Russia and Czechoslovakia $156
* 5 weeks camping in Spain, Portugal, and Morocco $230
* London-Capetown 7 week African Safari $641

CONTACT: ISCA
11687 San Vicente Blvd., No. 4
Los Angeles, CA 90049
(213) 826-5669

AUTOMATIC TRANSMISSION SPECIALISTS
792-6104
26 N. Hill (at Colorado)

10% discount to all Caltech students and faculty

SPECIAL DISCOUNTED

STUDENT AUTO INSURANCE

43% AVERAGE SAVINGS
NO SACRIFICE OF COVERAGE OR SERVICE
* PAYMENT PLAN TO FIT YOUR BUDGET
* LICENSED BY STATE OF CALIF. DEPT. OF INSURANCE
* NO MEMBERSHIP FEE REQUIRED
* PLACEMENT GUARANTEED

COLLEGE STUDENT INSURANCE SERVICE
5440 Pomona Blvd., L.A.
(213) 723-0712

Robert Wallace

Paul Barstad, who eluded three men and gained forty yards before finally being brought down.