UASH discusses changing academic honors

SANDHYA CHANDRASEKARAN
AND BRYAN JADOT
News Editor
Contributing Writer

Currently at Caltech, the “graduation with honor” title is automatically awarded to all students who earn a cumulative grade point average (GPA) of 3.5 or higher; students who do not have a 3.5 GPA may be recommended to the UASH Committee by a faculty member, division or option to receive honors based on exceptional creativity. However, the Undergraduate Academic Standards and Honors Committee, or UASH, has recently raised concerns regarding the number of undergraduate students who earn this distinction.

According to Mary Neary Morley, the registrar, “The concern raised by the UASH Committee was not only the total number of students awarded honors but also the apparent steady climb in the percentage receiving honors.” Out of the 235 students that graduated with a BS degree in 2011, 63% - 148 - received honors (the current cutoff of a 3.5 GPA or recommendation by UASH).

The graph below shows this rise in number over the years (it must be noted that prior to 1981-82, the criteria for graduating with honor was a 3.2 overall GPA and was subsequently changed to the currently used standard).

The large percentage of students who are graduating with honors suggests that the honors system may be becoming meaningless. There are many ideas currently being discussed regarding the best possible ways to adjust it. So far, the following ideas have been suggested:

1. Doing away with honors completely: There are several advantages to this. It has been suggested that just because someone has a high GPA does not mean that they are more academically worthy or intelligent, since there is a wide variation in difficulty between majors and classes; simply graduating from Caltech should be enough of an honor. Students who did not receive the title at this institution would probably get honors at many other institutions, so the whole system is flawed.

2. Implementing a tiered honors system: For example, people with a GPA greater than 3.5 would get honors, a person with a GPA higher than 3.8 would get high honors and a person with a GPA higher than 4.0 would get highest honors. This has the advantage of rewarding academic prowess and eliminates the problem of a “cheapened” honors system, since the most elite will be singled out.
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News briefs from around the globe

Helping readers to burst out of the Caltech bubble

Need to know < 100 words about the world this week – topics sorted from good to bad

by Sam Barnett – links to full stories available at barnett.caltech.edu/news

Retail spending rises ✤ 1.1% in September – Americans buying more cars, furniture, clothes [wsj]

Strong Google earnings ✤ 26% in third quarter – more advertising profits – hired 2,585 people [wsj]

KMI’s natural gas deal $ 21 billion to buy El Paso Corp. – will have 67,000 miles of pipeline [wsj]

Amazon is publishing 122 books will be published by the online bookstore this fall [nytimes]

Global protests intensify 135 people injured in Rome – banks and a church were set on fire [bbc]

Radiation around Tokyo 20 sites with alarming levels – 160 miles (far) from nuclear plant [nytimes]

More fighting in Yemen > 10,000 protesters – government forces using machine guns, rockets [bbc]
Food with Mannion!
Do you like eating food?
How about free food at nice restaurants?
Ever want to tell the world exactly what you think of said food?
The Tech will be beginning a new column to chronicle the foodie experiences of new writers every other week...The Catch: They'll be going head-to-head with Tom Mannion who will be reviewing the same restaurant. If you have ever thought you were more of a gourmand than our resident master chef, now's your chance to prove it!
Email us for a spot on the list at tech@caltech.edu

Write articles for the Tech

Get paid up to $30

Upcoming Events from the Caltech Y:

1. The Caltech-Y Social Activism Speaker Series presents:
   "Advocacy for Refugees - A talk with Shukry Cattan"
   Tuesday October 18th, 7:00pm in Beckman Institute Auditorium
   ** Reception with the speaker after the event **

2. Caltech Y Washington DC Science And Policy Trip -
   December 12 to 15 - Applications Due Friday by Noon
   (Space is Limited) Cost is only $400 (with round trip flight to DC and back to LA) or $300 (with one way flight to DC).
   Applications are available at www.caltechY.org now!

3. Chancellor's Place Volunteering with Music and Board Games like Scrabble:
   Volunteer opportunities are on Saturdays, the next one on Oct. 22 from 1-3pm and will continue throughout the year on a weekly basis, interest permitting.

NOMINATE YOUR FAVORITE PROFESSOR FOR THE FEYNMAN TEACHING PRIZE!!!

Here's your chance to nominate your favorite professor for the 2011-12 Richard P. Feynman Prize for Excellence in Teaching! You have from now until January 2, 2012 to submit your nomination package to the Provost's Office to honor a professor who demonstrates, in the broadest sense, unusual ability, creativity, and innovation in undergraduate and graduate classroom or laboratory teaching.

The Feynman Prize is made possible through the generosity of Ione and Robert E. Paradise, with additional contributions from an anonymous local couple. Nominations for the Feynman Teaching Prize are welcome from faculty, students, postdoctoral scholars, staff, and alumni.

All professorial faculty of the Institute are eligible. The prize consists of a cash award of $3,500, matched by an equivalent raise in the annual salary of the awardee. A letter of nomination and detailed supporting material, including, but not limited to, a curriculum vitae, course syllabus or description, and supporting recommendation letters should be directed to the Feynman Prize Selection Committee, Office of the Provost, Mail Code 206-31, at the California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California, 91125. Nomination packages are due by January 2, 2012.

Additional information including guidelines for the prize and FAQ may be found at http://provost.caltech.edu/FeynmanTeachingPrize. Further information can also be obtained from Karen Kerbs (626-395-6039; kkerbs@caltech.edu) or Stacey Scoville (626-395-6320; staceys@caltech.edu) in the Provost's Office.
Grading with honors is under review

Continued from page 1

Alternatively, we could implement a tiered system based on percentages instead of absolute GPAs.

Morley provides a breakdown based on the Class of 2011 statistics, “If we chose a model of the top 5% receiving Highest Honors; next 10% receiving Highest Honors; and next 15% receiving Honors we would award honors to a total 38 students.

• Highest Honors 5% = 12 students with a GPA from 4.05 – 4.4
• Highest Honors 10% = 24 students with a GPA from 4.00 – 4.03
• Highest Honors 20% = 47 students with a GPA from 3.93 – 4.03

3. Setting the GPA bar higher: Raising the GPA criteria to a 3.7 rather than the current 3.5 requirement will serve the purpose of simply reducing the number of students who receive honors. This would make the title more exclusive and meaningful, and would mirror the change that occurred in the 1980s to raise the fixed GPA standard for honors.

Morley writes, “If we chose a model of the top 30% of students receiving honors we would award 70 students total with GPAs from 3.80 – 4.26.”

A model of the top 40% of students receiving honors would target a GPA range of 3.7 to 4.26.

4. Turning honors over to the option in order to account for inherent differences in the difficulty of course load and coursework among majors, departments should come up with unique methods for gauging student academic excellence and research prowess.

5. Leaving the system as it currently is. There is no dire need to change the current system requirements, and a GPA of 3.5 should be sufficient to qualify for this title.

Morley concludes, “A study of the graduation practices of some of our peer institutions was undertaken over the summer to provide additional information to the UASH Committee... In summary, Harvard and Yale award Latin honors with pre-defined percentage cutoffs.

Princeton awards three levels of honors with no maximum percentage; Berkeley awards three levels of honors with fixed percentages; Harvey Mudd has two levels of honors; Chicago is most similar to us having one honors designation and using a straight GPA cutoff; and Stanford awards one level of honors to the top 15% of the class.” Surprisingly, MIT, an engineering institution with academic standards and expectations that most nearly mirror our own, awards no honors at graduation.

A survey will be sent out soon to gauge student opinion on the matter.

The UASH is very interested in student perspectives on these ideas to come up with a solution best suited to undergraduate needs.

KIMM FESNMAIER
Caltech Science Writer

The California Institute of Technology (Caltech) has been awarded $12.6 million in funding over the next five years by the National Science Foundation (NSF) to create a new Physics Frontiers Center. Dubbed the Institute for Quantum Information and Matter (IQIM), the center will bring together physicists and computer scientists (IQIM), the center will bring together physicists and computer scientists (IQIM), the center will bring together physicists and computer scientists (IQIM), the center will bring together physicists and computer scientists (IQIM), the center will bring together physicists and computer scientists (IQIM), the center will bring together physicists and computer scientists (IQIM), the center will bring together physicists and computer scientists (IQIM), the center will bring together physicists and computer scientists (IQIM), the center will bring together physicists and computer scientists (IQIM), the center will bring together physicists and computer scientists (IQIM), the center will bring together physicists and computer scientists (IQIM), the center will bring together physicists and computer scientists (IQIM), the center will bring together physicists and computer scientists (IQIM), the center will bring together physicists and computer scientists (IQIM), the center will bring together physicists and computer scientists (IQIM), the center will bring together physicists and computer scientists (IQIM), the center will bring together physicists and computer scientists (IQIM), the center will bring together physicists and computer scientists.

The IQIM will provide a sustaining base for our efforts to discover new phenomena at this entanglement frontier.

- Professor H. Jeff Kimble

IQIM, the center will bring physicists and computer scientists together to push theoretical and experimental boundaries in the study of exotic quantum states.

Every three years, the NSF selects new Physics Frontiers Centers for funding based on their potential for transformational advances in the most promising research areas at the intersection of physics and other fields. Caltech’s IQIM was chosen for funding from more than 50 proposals this year.

The NSF’s decision to fund the IQM leverages the groundwork done by the Center for Exotic Quantum Systems (CEQS), a program funded by the Gordon and Betty Moore Foundation, as well as an earlier NSF-sponsored Institute for Quantum Information (IQI). With the support of the NSF and the Moore Foundation, the new Physics Frontiers Center, CEQS, and IQI will be merged into a single entity—the Institute for Quantum Information and Matter. The unrestricted funds provided by the Moore Foundation had a dramatic effect on the decision to fund this Physics Frontiers Center,” says Caltech’s Frank J. Roshek Professor of Theoretical Physics John Preskill, the current director of the IQI, and the Richard P. Feynman Professor of Theoretical Physics, Studies of quantum entanglement and its applications are necessarily multidisciplinary in nature. Therefore, the 16 Caltech faculty members who will make up the core of the new center were drawn from such disciplines as physics, applied physics, and computer science. The newly renovated historic Norman Bridge Laboratory of Physics and the IQI’s home base in the Annenberg Center for Information Science and Technology will serve as two central hubs for IQM faculty on campus.

“When you bring innovative scientists and engineers together and provide them with the facilities and collaborative spaces they need, magic happens. Themagic involves transforming the way we think about and impact our world,” says Ares Rosakis, chair of the Division of Engineering and Applied Science (EAS) at Caltech.

“I am delighted that an initial collaboration beginning in 2008 between the Division of Engineering and Applied Science (EAS) and the Division of Physics, Mathematics and Astronomy (PMA)—the Institute of Quantum Information (IQI)—planted the seeds for this new NSF institute at Caltech.”

newsupdate.caltech.edu
Caltech Couture: One student’s take on fashion

ALEX LANGERFELD
Staff Writer

Today I’ll be delving into a little more than fashion. I’d like to add one more book to the long and heavy list of required readings that most of us already have. This book, however, will be well worth the investment. Applying what one has learned from this one book can accelerate one through life faster than 10 terse books on transport mechanisms. This book is “Emily Post’s Etiquette” by Peggy Post (I have the 17th edition). This is the Joy of Cooking for modern etiquette.

I’ll start off with a bit about roommate situations and then I’ll expand to more general interactions on campus.

For those of you who have roommates, there is one very useful page in this Bible. It is page 119 in Chapter 10. This page lays out some ground rules for living happily with roommates.

The book emphasizes the respect of your roommate’s private belongings. Don’t borrow things without asking and when you do borrow, return the object promptly and in good condition. Do not be late to settle expenses if you do borrow, this may easily happen here!

In fact, I’d say it’s embarrassing if you don’t hold the door for a girl, or even worse, if you make it so holding the door for you! Be aware of your door and neighbors. Also keep in mind that sound travels surprisingly well through open windows. I’ve observed that Techers are quite respectful in terms of phone calls. When one has a long call to be second nature. And guys, there is nothing embarrassing about it. In fact, I’d say it’s embarrassing if you don’t wear them around campus!

Holding doors for people should be second nature. And guys, there should never go out of style. This is a manifestation of respect. I am happy to have observed this in over-filled lecture halls!

Dress appropriately. PJs, really? So if you do have to walk down the hall in your pajamas, at least make sure that they are decent enough to be seen by others. But please don’t wear them around campus! High school pajama days are over. If it helps, imagine running into a Nobel laureate, which fortunately may easily happen here!

Recognize people when you see them. Say hi, wave, something. If you have beef with them then there are better ways of facing this than pretending not to see them. You only make yourself look worse.

Recognize that you’re sick and keep common areas neat. Also warn your roommate ahead of time if you are having an unexpected visit from both on and off campus several times.

Besides visits, it was also so nice to receive get-well messages and encouragement. Thank you to all of you!

Now as a sick person, the world just looks too gloomy and you may start thinking nasty thoughts. Recognize that you’re sick and keep to yourself until you get better (a similar thing happens when you’re low on sleep or overworked). Avoid confrontations until you’re better.

By then the situation may turn out not to be so bad after all. Try not to be too heavy a burden on others and don’t judge them harshly although I know that this is extremely hard. Difficult times prove true friends.

In fact, I know that many people were sick last week, including myself. On top of illness, last week was piled high with work for many of us. I cannot say how heartwarming it was to have friends take the time to visit from both on and off campus times.

I know that many people were sick last week, including myself. On top of illness, last week was piled high with work for many of us. I cannot say how heartwarming it was to have friends take the time to visit both on and off campus times.

Besides visits, it was also so nice to receive get-well messages and encouragement. Thank you to all of you!

Now as a sick person, the world just looks too gloomy and you may start thinking nasty thoughts. Recognize that you’re sick and keep to yourself until you get better (a similar thing happens when you’re low on sleep or overworked). Avoid confrontations until you’re better.

By then the situation may turn out not to be so bad after all. Try not to be too heavy a burden on others and don’t judge them harshly although I know that this is extremely hard. Difficult times prove true friends.

If you know that someone is sick, recognize them to yourself until you get better (a similar thing happens when you’re low on sleep or overworked). Avoid confrontations until you’re better.

By then the situation may turn out not to be so bad after all. Try not to be too heavy a burden on others and don’t judge them harshly although I know that this is extremely hard. Difficult times prove true friends.

If you know that someone is sick, recognize them to yourself until you get better (a similar thing happens when you’re low on sleep or overworked). Avoid confrontations until you’re better.

By then the situation may turn out not to be so bad after all. Try not to be too heavy a burden on others and don’t judge them harshly although I know that this is extremely hard. Difficult times prove true friends.

If you know that someone is sick, recognize them to yourself until you get better (a similar thing happens when you’re low on sleep or overworked). Avoid confrontations until you’re better.

By then the situation may turn out not to be so bad after all. Try not to be too heavy a burden on others and don’t judge them harshly although I know that this is extremely hard. Difficult times prove true friends.

If you know that someone is sick, recognize them to yourself until you get better (a similar thing happens when you’re low on sleep or overworked). Avoid confrontations until you’re better.

By then the situation may turn out not to be so bad after all. Try not to be too heavy a burden on others and don’t judge them harshly although I know that this is extremely hard. Difficult times prove true friends.
Interviews with the stars of PhD Movie

CHRIS ZHENG
Contributing Writer

Chris Zheng: I have read the comics, and I can relate [to them] as I have done the lab classes and SUBs where nothing ever works. So, what is the movie about?

Raj Katti: There are actually two storylines. One is with the Nameless Grad Student interviewing with the professor and trying to get into his extremely selective assistant graduate student program. He gets the ubiquitous project where it doesn’t work, and it’s boring and he doesn’t want to do it. He eventually has to make a decision about what he wants out of grad school and life. He meets Cecilia who has gone through the beginning of that, and it is an older grad student. Both of them, at the same time are experiencing the same conflict.

C: The characters in the movie look a lot like the comic characters.

R: That’s what he was shooting for. I tell people the reason I got this part was because I look like Jorge, a little bit.

C: What was the timeline of filming this movie?

R: We started around the end of the second quarter, and I had just finished up the Three Musketeers. It was the week after, and we did it for three months, mostly weekends. I had to be there every Saturday. Alex Lockwood would have the Sundays. It was an incredibly long time commitment.

C: How did you like the process?

R: Filming is very different from stage, and I had only acted on stage before with the Caltech plays. In filming, you shoot the scene, update the script, and you shoot the scene again, 2 or 3 times with all these different camera shots. For me it was hard to know what to do next, how to contribute acting for food.

C: What did you take away from that experience?

R: Acting is tough business. Even the physical act of getting to the place was hard...[It] was an hour- and-a-half away by Metro.

C: Wow, and we complain about going over to Bridge to turn in sets. Well, thank you very much for your time.

R: Thank you for talking with me.

Chris Zheng: How did you get into this set?

Alex Lockwood: They had auditions last November. It was basically Jorge sitting behind a camera and seeing how people acted and looked. I wasn’t initially shooting for a lead role, but I thought it would be a good chance to meet Jorge and be a part of the PHD comics. I didn’t quite have the time...[but] when I got to the set, I thought, I couldn’t turn it down.

C: What would be the worst part of filming?

A: Honestly, the only time I got frustrated was when it got disjointed. It got bad when I was waiting for someone to show up, or a prop to arrive. Someone would make a decision -- when the director of photography would spend an hour talking to the director for an hour like a shot. There wasn’t much downtime, but when there was, I didn’t have anything to do but wait.

C: What would you say would be the most enjoyable part of filming?

A: Coming out of the full movie experience. I would say I’m grateful for two different things. One, I’m grateful for a snapshot of myself at 25 to show my future kids.

The other part would be the people that I met. Crystal, Raj, Vale the director, and Jorge are awesome, awesome people, and a lot of fun.

Do you have any stories?

A: Well, I made up the hash dance myself on the spot. It was like “insert dance here,” and they were thinking, but there was a scene where I did a hash table dance. I am in this silly get-up, and I’m showing this to my roommate Taelit (Crystal Dilworth). We had so much fun that night. Crystal could not believe how silly I was being. The look on her face of sheer shock and almost being ashamed for me was completely hilarious. I had a lot of fun on set. I think a lot of time I’m filmed doing stupid stuff on set, so I’m really interested in how the DVD will turn out. I hope it includes a lot of extra stuff and bloopers. I feel like I’m going to be an idiot.

Any other thoughts?

A: I think people are really getting into it at Caltech. President Chameau stopped me the other day and congratulated me. I think it’s gonna get Caltech a lot of positive coverage.

Club offers venue for debates on religion

FEATURE

Athiests, Agnostics, Skeptics, and Questioning holds first meeting

CASEY HANDMER
Staff Writer

On Tuesday, October 11 at 8PM, when most of the Tech’s regular readers were just waking up, a small but vibrant bunch of students converged on CSS for the first meeting of AASQ (Atheists, Agnostics, Skeptics, and Questioning) – Caltech’s long awaited religious skepticism society. While some may question the necessity or even function of such a society on a campus as secular and science-oriented as Caltech, a variety of events felt the time had come to address a number of needs within the Caltech student body.

The first, of course, was the absence of many parallel clubs and societies in other universities, currently undergoing a renaissance in efforts following the (re-)emergence of the ‘New Atheists’, possibly in response to a perception of increasing religious influence in everyday life, and in inter alia, national politics.

To that end, it is also useful to provide a forum for the students who, still forming their adult identities, desire a controlled and safe environment in which to question and examine ‘received wisdom in every area of life, including the acknowledgement of a spiritual life often more diverse than that provided in the home environment.

Before we could get to a detailed discussion of matters such as the historical and practical necessity to provide a base and framework for society operation. Afterall, the very last thing we desired was the perception that we, or anyone, was out to ‘get’ religion, to embarrass, provoke, harass, or otherwise spoil for conflict. At the meeting we agreed that, for instance, personal attacks were not on. More subtle still, we discussed the ways in which arguments might be supported by evidence, weighed, and ultimately discarded if shown to be false.

The nice thing about this approach is that it promotes parity and balance in any discussion, since all the rules work both ways. An immediate project to work on was a webpage with a list of ‘known bad arguments’ from which to systematically eliminate the possibility of fallacious arguments on the heathen side. The second major item on the agenda was, again, mostly self-evaluative. Before entering any cross-cultural forum or discussion, it’s absolutely imperative to know where you stand, and, if at all possible inconsistencies in your arguments. One proxy of this is a short interactive quiz published in the Philosophers’ Magazine (http://www.philosophersmag.com/games/god.php) which poses a series of questions with very subtle contradictions. It’s almost impossible to put up a logically consistent, special- interest free front of answers to any of these questions, and we all had a good laugh at the inherent absurdity of these answers, despite the wide range of spiritual views and backgrounds present at the meeting. One of the most interesting discussions we had, between chomps of cookies and sipping of cool Coca-Cola, was about the likelihood of various deistic hypotheses, including the null one. One participant suggested that the ‘we don’t know, therefore god (in any sense) as having a long white beard. Obviously there is no simple correct answer, but homing in on questions like this provides an excellent way to articulate and refine one’s personal beliefs, as well as assist others in gaining an understanding of theirs.

Ultimately, we suggested that AASQ should fulfill roles as a social club, a venue for conversations, questions, and guest lectures, and a forum of rational discourse. We invite all interested people (regardless of religious/spiritual affiliation) to join our mailing list and come to events and meetings as the club develops and matures.

For more information, please contact AASQ president Dustin Summy by e-mailing him at dussumy@caltech.edu.
Today’s Puzzle: Crossword

Across
1. Trail
5. Elimination contest
9. Heron
14. Succulent plant
16. Fragrance
17. Musical symbol
18. Rotate
19. Large ungulate
20. Carapace
22. Pace
24. Blocking vote
25. Edible tuber
26. Wading bird
28. Ironic
30. Footwear
31. In the past
32. Diffident
35. Evaluation
38. Edible fat
39. Snooker accessory
40. Division of quantity
41. Moose
42. Formal dance
43. Nimble
46. Helix
48. Female sheep
49. Leguminous plant
50. Part of a deck
51. Cereal grass seed
52. Part of the neck
53. Part of a circle
56. Apex
59. True
61. Songlike cry
63. Might
65. Storage tower
67. Eat
68. Relative magnitude
69. Front of a vessel
70. High-priced
71. Choose
72. Gape
73. Brood

Down
1. Garden plant
2. Hawaiian greeting
3. Emblem
4. Part of a shoe
5. Belonging to him
6. Likeness of mind
7. Assumed name
8. Portable shelter
9. Consume
10. Cooking juices
11. Leash
12. Express audibly
13. Edible root
21. Predatory feline
23. Border
27. Wetland
29. Decay
30. Used to control a horse
32. Indication of damage
33. Polynesian dance
34. Shout
35. Convention
36. Afluenza
37. Lunar time period
38. Cunning
41. Epoch
42. Tender
44. Secret agent
45. British nobleman
46. Deplete
47. Quarry
50. Inexperienced
51. Something that has survived the past
52. Currency of Nigeria
53. Farewell remark
54. Relating to kidneys
55. Keeps records or accounts
56. Land measure
57. Fossil fuel
58. Muffle
59. True
60. Catch sight of
61. Songlike cry
62. Chances
63. Might
64. Point
66. Possess

Upcoming Event:
Dava Sobel Book Signing


When?: Tuesday, November 8, 2011
5:00 pm to 6:30 pm

Where?: The Planetary Society
85 S. Grand Ave.
Pasadena, CA 91105

Telescope viewing to follow

Questions?
Call 626-793-5100
Visit http://planetary.org
Midnight Madness is still an entertaining event in its second year

AMOL KAMAT
Sports Editor

Picture the scene. A beautiful Friday night. A sea (maybe more like a pond) of slightly inebriated (the loud ones, anyway), fairly nerdy (if the media is to be believed), orange clad basketball FANATICS assembled for the sole purpose of eating free, delicious foodstuffs, watching musical chairs, and cheering for basketball drills. To maintain the illusion of studiousness, of course, SURF presentations were scheduled for the following day. Indeed, a perfect scene (at least in terms of admissions if we were to invite a bunch of prefrish to visit for this specific weekend...I see you, Caltech).

That's right, folks, MIDNIGHT MADNESS returned for a second helping (much like I did but with pulled pork or chicken or whatever that foodstuff was). Honestly, there was a great turnout to support the men's basketball team (sorry, girls, you're not invited this year), and there was a perfect marriage between legitimacy and intentional irony that made the event less pretentious than expected.

Host Aric Fitz-Coy did a great job keeping the crowd entertained while also teaching us how to do the wave and alternatively chant "Orange!" and "White!" and even if it was sponsored, I include other sports). My one gripe (there's always one) is that it seems very expensive, but offered a fascinating insight into Caltech student dynamics.

At 12:01 a.m., the basketball team took to the floor and did some drills that turned into being mesmerized by Marcus Lucas' ability to dunk (at least for me). Overall the event was a success. The $3000 prize for the house with the most attendance was certainly a welcome addition, thus did not seem to be people's chief motivating factor for attending. This was honestly a fun, stress relieving social event that should be repeated (selfish plug: maybe include other sports).

Lack of offense, defense dooms Caltech soccer in match vs. Cal Lu

AMOL KAMAT
Sports Editor

A beautiful pass followed by another. A quick display of skill before another brilliant through ball. One more intelligent pass. A terrible shot with nobody there to recover it. This was often the scene when the Caltech soccer team took on Cal Lutheran on Saturday. The remarkably good weather, as well as the hope that the Beavers would once again defeat Cal Lu this season, attracted quite a large crowd of supporters for both the home and away teams. Unfortunately, the home fans found little to cheer about.

Cal Lutheran struck first (and second, third, and fourth) when Enrique Zaragoza scored off a corner kick late in the first half. The decision to award the corner kick by head referee Martik Mirikian was debatable, with several Caltech players arguing for a goal kick (rightfully so, in my humble opinion). Nevertheless, the game continued, as did the terriblly officiating.

Controversy arose again when Mirikian awarded a penalty kick to Cal Lutheran for what appeared to be a clean tackle in the box by Caltech's Nicky Zhang. Zhang was not booked for the foul, adding to the confusion (admission of guilt?). The Kingsmen's Josh Hibbert slipped his penalty shot past Wesley Swank, putting Cal Lu up 3-0 and giving them their first successful penalty kick this season.

While I would like to blame the officiating crew for the loss, it would be unfair to not blame the Beavers' lack of offensive production, too (although seeing an assistant referee laughing and agreeing with the Cal Lu fans does not exactly bolster this assertion). Brilliant build-ups often fizzled out, with Caltech forwards refusing to make runs, and open chances were missed when Beavers players hogged the ball for too long, or straight up forgot how to kick. I would also like to place some blame on the defense, but really, they played pretty hard and were certainly very tired from always having the ball played on their half of the field.

By the final whistle, the Beavers had been shut-out while allowing four goals. The loss drops the Beavers to last place in the SCIAC and elevates Cal Lu to second to last (which is better than last). The Beavers travel to Claremont on Monday to try to pull off the upset of the century. Also, "The Kingsmen" is a stupid nickname. I mean, seriously, you're not even the king, you're just his men. At least beavers are independent and can bite down trees.
APPLES AND ORANGES
IN SEARCH OF A MISSING HUNTER...
LOOKING FOR CLUES.
LOOKING FOR CLUES.

ANNIE. I CAN'T HELP FEEL THAT YOU MAY BE TAKING THIS MYSTERY THING A BIT TOO SERIOUSLY.

WHAT MAKES YOU SAY THAT?

HANDYCAPTAINMAN
SAVE US HANDYCAPTAIN!

I DON'T WORK ON WEEKENDS!

I need help interpreting the results of my thought experiment.