PASADENA, CALIFORNIA

Recent rumors of the changing policy on the ability to keep cats has made students, even non-cat owners and -allergies, upset and unhappy. Most of the rumors center around the fact that cats will not be allowed back into the new South houses when they open next year. Anything else that has been circulating around may or may not actually be part of the new policy regarding cats. The author personally heard that Housing was "phasing cats out of the North houses as well, but this turns out to be untrue.

An interview with Senior Housing Director Tim Chang straightforwardly cut the facts about the policy. The new policy is as follows: no cats will be allowed to reside in the South houses, nor in Avery, but they are still being allowed in off campus housing options and the North houses. The Housing Office is not accepting any applications for new cats. (NOTE: The phrase, "not accepting any applications for new cats" does not imply that cats are being phased out, but rather that the North houses have reached their quota, and applications will be accepted when a position eventually opens up.) The prior version of the cat policy was simply to place a cat quota for each house, depending on its size. Current South house cat owners must either find an off campus living arrangement to keep their cat, or give their pet to someone in a North house/off-campus place before moving back in.

Many Caltech students regard the privilege of keeping pets—cats, in particular—as an affordable characteristic that makes the school unique and superior to other universities. "A deciding factor for me to come here was literally the ability to own a cat," states Vera te Velde, a junior. Wendy Chou, an alum, states, "It's the one thing that makes Caltech a unique school. When I came to Prefresh [Weekend], I put on my form that I wanted a host with a cat... Everybody I knew was impressed that a school would allow people to have cats in the dorms."

Some students have mixed feelings about the cat policy: "Cats can be cool when their owners are conscientious of their whereabouts," says sophomore Rob Oganian, who experiences cat allergies. Where does the policy stand, exactly?

When asked about the reasons for including the new South houses as part of the cat-free zone, Chang stated that the idea was based on opening new housing options—ie., the South houses—to students who were allergic to cats, in addition to Avery. Currently, Avery House is the only place for students with these allergies and they have little other choice but to live there. Chang was adamant on pointing out that prevent changes in investments due to a personal or career-based

Continued on page 3

The Passion of Christ Producer Describes Movie Unique Experiences

BY: DANIEL BIRT

On the Friday after Easter, Steve McEveety, producer of The Passion of our Lord, spoke at Caltech about his personal experiences in making the movie, working with Mel Gibson, and overcoming the controversy which almost prevented its release. "I have been a Catholic my whole life," he said, "but I never spent much time reflecting on the Passion of our Lord... Mel and I wanted to make the movie, but we were not able to..." Continued on page 2

Caltech's Endowment

BY: SARA PEEK

If Caltech has $1.3 billion up its sleeve, why are we worrying so much about budget cuts right now?

Directly related to Caltech's excellence in a variety of fields is the large budget relative to our small size. A major contributor to this budget is the payout of Caltech's $1.3 billion endowment.

Caltech's endowment is meant to last the lifetime of the school, which is ideally infinite. To that end, rather than just being spent, the endowment is invested, and a percentage is paid out into the general budget every year.

So how much of the endowment is used each year? Currently, the endowment payout out 6.5% a year, computed from 12-quarter averages of the total worth, so as not to pay out anomalously at a momentary investment high. The endowment payout is meant to be fair to every generation of Caltech students and faculty in the future as well as now, and much thought is put into achieving this goal.

Most endowed schools pay out only 5-5.5% each year, which ensures that the current budget, and thus the current students and faculty, absorb the risks inherent in this investment. Caltech differs from this norm, which allows the endowment payout to contribute about 16% of the half-billion dollar operating budget.

Since the endowment payout comprises a reasonable fraction of the operating budget, it is important that the endowment be stable. Caltech's costs are largely fixed—salaries, stipends, and maintenance, to name a few, so a dramatic reduction in the endowment could leave the Institute wondering how to come up with the money.

In fact, Caltech has faced this problem relatively recently—historically, Caltech's endowment was largely invested in stocks and bonds; during the tech bubble, the investments earned returns that made diversification seem imprudent. As the bubble burst, the risk inherent in stocks was illustrated; at one point, the endowment dropped by 20%, which means that payout also dropped dramatically.

When the tech bubble burst, about 75% of the endowment was invested in stocks. Soon after, new strategies were implemented to deal with risk. A more diverse set of investments was made, including real estate and timber, which are less volatile than normal stocks. Additionally, no more than 3% of the endowment is ever invested in a single stock. The varied assets that comprise the current investment are not correlated in their worth, so an overall smoothing effect is seen in the endowment's worth over time.

The endowment is invested through professional money managers unaffiliated with Caltech to deal with risk. A more diverse set of investments was made, including real estate and timber, which are less volatile than normal stocks. Additionally, no more than 3% of the endowment is ever invested in a single stock. The varied assets that comprise the current investment are not correlated in their worth, so an overall smoothing effect is seen in the endowment's worth over time.

Another concern is that recent events have caused Caltech to reduce its endowment payout. This was largely due to the impacts of the 2008-2009 financial crisis, which caused the stock market to plummet. As a result, the endowment dropped by about 20% in value, which led to a reduction in the payout for the following year. However, the endowment has since recovered and is now back to its previous level.

In the end, the endowment payout is a key factor in ensuring the stability and future of Caltech. By investing conservatively and diversifying its holdings, the Institute can maintain a consistent budget and provide opportunities for students, faculty, and the broader community.
Bush Calls for Increased Fuel Efficiency Standards
President George W. Bush and Congress for additional powers to regulate fuel efficiency standards for vehicles. The Department of Transportation already has raised standards for 320 vehicles and light trucks and would like to raise the current standard of an average of 27.5 miles per gallon to at least 35 miles per vehicle. Bus has also vowed that if the powers were granted, the federal government would not implement outright restrictions on consumer freedom to purchase fuel efficient vehicles.

Republican leaders have proposed an amendment that would give the Transportation Department authority to issue fuel efficiency standards for passenger vehicles, expand tax incentives for use of hybrid vehicles, attempt to bring more research in alternative fuels and more effective usage of existing oil refineries, provide most households with a $100 rebate check to offset higher fuel prices for gasoline, and open up the border to mass immigrant refugees from Mexico and in the future help the Philippines. Many vendors have organized a day of prayer and support to provide aid to the refugees in the Philippines.

ASCIT Board of Directors Meetings
Wednesday, April 26, 2006
1. Funding Requests
   a. Steve reported that the Alumni Fund is concerned about getting student volunteers for the Student Phone Program, since much less students are active in the program as compared to past years. They are looking into ways to increase participation in the program by looking into support from the Housing offices. Members may be interested. More discussion on how the BoD can facilitate the Alumni Fund in recruiting callers will happen at the next BoD meeting.
   b. Nate reported that the Alumni Fund is concerned about getting student volunteers for the School Phone Program, since much less students are active in the program as compared to past years. They are looking into ways to increase participation in the program by looking into support from the Housing offices. Members may be interested. More discussion on how the BoD can facilitate the Alumni Fund in recruiting callers will happen at the next BoD meeting.
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 cylt passing all legislation con- Summer Housing

Aptified Housing: If you prefer to remain in your House (or affiliated off-campus alley/mod- or-end) you must participate in your House’s summer room pick. Each House will have a room pick for more information. You are NOT required to turn in a 2006 Summer Housing Request/Lottery Card to the Housing Of- fice. You would like to participate in your House picks and the affiliated summer lottery, you must complete a 2006 Summer Housing Request/Lottery Card by 5:00 pm on Monday, May 8th to the Housing Office.

Unaffiliated Housing: If you are currently living in an unaffiliated space (squats), or have picked into an unaffiliated space for the fall (settlers), and wish to have that assignment during the summer, you must submit a 2006 Summer Housing Request/Lottery Card by 5:00 pm on Monday, May 8th to the Housing Office.

As an incoming resident, you must submit a 2006 Summer Housing Request/Lottery Card by 5:00 pm on Monday, May 8th to the Housing Office.

Unaffiliated Lottery that Thursday

News and More News

“4. UDeL’s Charleston Month-Three Cease Fire, Parliament Reopens

in the battered nation of Nepal, the leader of the Maoist rebels, Prachanda, declared a three- month cease fire to give the new government a chance to assemble. In addition, after three weeks of curfews and demonstra- tions that killed at least 13 and left thousands wounded, the king agreed late Monday to reinstate Parliament, which he dissolved in 2002 at the request of the former prime minister. Negotiations that ran through the weekend and a call of all seven political parties to discuss the situation with the king resulted in a plan to hold elections to choose a new parliament. The new parliament will be expected to pass a new constitution and end the decade-long civil war.

2. Nate reported that the Alumni Fund is concerned about getting student volunteers for the Student Phone Program, since much less students are active in the program as compared to past years. They are looking into ways to increase participation in the program by looking into support from the Housing offices. Members may be interested. More discussion on how the BoD can facilitate the Alumni Fund in recruiting callers will happen at the next BoD meeting.
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Chang also added that some cat owners do not properly take care of their pets, neglecting to spay or neuter them, which eventually leads to an increase in the number of feral cats around the school.

Incidents like this have made it difficult for Housing to deal with the existing cats on campus, but Housing still supports the ownership of cats — just in restricted areas. Chang remarked, "I know that the act of having a cat is a way for students to relieve stress; this is why we still allow students to keep cats." He maintains that other universities have much stricter policies on pets, even "No pets" policies, but Caltech remains liberal in this sense, even after the recent changes.

A separate, but related issue is that RAs are allowed to have dogs, a privilege that all other students do not have. I asked Chang about the reasoning behind this, to which he replied that, "RAs do not have a choice in where they live: when they become RAs, we force them to live in their house. Therefore, they can’t choose housing that lets them own dogs. This is why we allow them the privilege of keeping that pet." This argument essentially gives the act of owning a dog equal importance to being an RA, but it remains the logic behind the policy.

Part of the resistance to the new change in policy can arguably be attributed to a misinterpretation of the new policy. Rumors are easily generated because there seems to be a general lack of central information. When the author asked Chang for an interview concerning "Housing’s new policy on cats," he replied that, "This isn’t news at all — it’s been this way since the beginning of the school year, and we’ve been discussing it for several years now." When prompted to explain, Chang says that he has, "talked about the cat-free locations in Housing with students, via the HIC [InterHouse Committee] over the past three years."

However, there remains the overwhelming consensus that the most recent cat policy is still regarded as "news" to many students on campus. How would an average student know what the standing policy on cats would be at any given time? One place to look would be the yearly Fall Housing Contract, but this document fails to mention cats at all, never mind the specifics about where cats are and aren’t allowed.

Nevertheless, this article will serve as a general, if unofficial, announcement made on the new cat policy at Caltech. Chang fully recognizes the discontent some students express about this policy, but it meets the goals of opening more housing options to students with special needs and controlling the number of cats on campus. However, he emphasizes that this is, "flexible, if anything," about finding a policy that works for everyone. If a student can find a more suitable policy that everyone can agree on, then the forum is open for discussion and negotiation. Otherwise, the current policy shall stay as is.

(More commentary on pg 5)
Ari Kaplan (bottom right) poses with the Caltech baseball team

BY: JOHN McNAMARA

A Caltech alumnus leads an extraordinary career after a home-run SURF project.

The physics final is not going to finish itself. You stare at the problems, but, to you, they all meld into the same question: why did I come here? Suddenly, an answer emerges and is remarkably easy. It’s not a metaphor. A week later, you have done it down. A week after that, you’ve done it well. You’ve done it quickly. You’ve done it with a sigh of relief while the guy next to you fails with a 44%. If you had not had that one revelation or had one misguided thought, you would be in the class the next year, scribing enough points to move on.

With such swings and uncertainty, Caltech is a game of baseball—a game that Pulitzer Prize winning columnist George Will called “the game of the long season where small incremental differences decide who wins and who loses particular games, series, seasons. Like Caltech and your GPA, it’s a game that you can’t like if winning is everything.”

But one Caltech alumnus took this to a new level and pursued a career in baseball. Ari Kaplan (B.S ’92) started his career in the summer of 1989 at the age of 19. He attended the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill where he was a starting pitcher for the Tar Heels. Upon graduating, he was drafted by the Chicago Cubs in the 23rd round of the 1989 Major League Baseball Draft.

Kaplan’s project sought to develop new methods to evaluate pitcher performance. Kaplan’s goal was to reevaluate the way people look at the earned run average, ERA, for assessing a pitcher’s performance. At the time, it was thought that at the games, the pitchers that I thought would perform poorly were not as bad as they thought.

“When he came to me with his SURF idea, I could see that had a good idea,” remarked Kiewiet. Kaplan developed the reliever effectiveness formula and received the first place award in the 1990 SURF project. Kaplan was selected to be one of three SURF students to present to the Board of Trustees.

From there, he worked for the Orioles the next summer and was moved to the Padres the summer after. Kaplan was faced with many choices. “It was hard, I had job opportunities, I got good offers from Expos wanted me back and I chose to go back to the Expors.”
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Feline Residents to be Barred from South Houses

BY: LISA STREET

When the renovated South Houses are finally opened again next winter, some of its former inhabitants will find themselves unwanted. To return home, Institute Director of Housing Tim Chang has asserted “no cats will be allowed in the South Houses.” This decision will perpetrate the difficulties for members of the South Houses who have already struggled to make living arrangements for feline roommates during the renovations.

When questioned about the proposed crackdown on cats, Chang responded “the problem centered around allergies and damage, and by removing the cats from the South Houses, the housing office is looking to expand the ability of those with allergies to live in Caltech housing.”

I question the degree to which “those with allergies” have been limited in their ability to live in the Houses because of the presence of cats. I myself have allergies to cats, yet I am a strong supporter of the rights of students to have cats on campus. If I don’t take any medications and I put a cat to a room which I am particularly allergic, I seven uncontrollably, my eyes get itchy, watery, and swollen until I can hardly see, my skin itches, and I have slight difficulty breathing. However, there are several medications on the market that eliminate or reduce these symptoms of allergy.

I suspect that most people with allergies to cats have been limited in their ability to live in Caltech housing by the presence of cats. To solve this problem, Telegraph should make accommodations such as allowing students to keep pet cats of their choosing in their rooms, or better yet, eliminating all cats from the house. This would eliminate the allergens that make students suffer.

Write for The Tech

If you are interested in working for The Tech, feel free to attend our weekly meetings on Fridays from noon to 1PM at the Broad Cafe.

If we print your material, we will pay you up to the amounts listed below (at our discretion). Email submissions to tech@caltech.edu.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Payment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>News</td>
<td>$25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Features</td>
<td>$20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sports</td>
<td>$20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commentary</td>
<td>$20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Humour</td>
<td>$20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good Comics</td>
<td>$15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Photos</td>
<td>$5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Confidential Egg Donors Needed Immediately!

$8,000 to $10,000

Exceptional Women Needed for Egg Donation

Tired of CDS lunches and dinners?

$2.00 Burger, Fries, and Drink EVERYDAY for all Caltech students, staff, and faculty

Burger Continental
535 S. Lake Ave.
Pasadena
(626) 792-6034
always 25% off for all Caltech students, staff, and faculty
the full cost of health insurance instead of using the scholarship to cover it. By having healthcare as a separate expense, those receiving merit scholarships are penalized if someone is mandated by their family to take the entire cost of their education, then the $2901 each year in student fees is significant.

The Perks of Caltech are a necessity to attract students who would be scared away from the daunting workload necessary to finish... If it was not for the generous financial aid package, I would have seriously considered going elsewhere.

In reality, it is part of the problem.

Gas tax – the federal government imposes an 18.4-cent tax on each gallon of gasoline. Gas stations would be spared 18.4 cents per gallon if this tax were repealed. More can be done at the state level, where governments impose an additional 7.5 to 32.1 cent-per-gallon tax. Cutting gas taxes alone would cut gas prices by 50 cents in many places!

The Democrats in Congress have headed in the right direction by proposing a 60-day federal gas tax break. But why stop after 60 days? Make it permanent.

Federal lands – the federal government currently owns land that contains oil deposits. The Alaska’s Northern Wilderness Reserve Area (ANWAR) is one such location. If these places were available for exploration, the federal market would supply those deposits, offsetting the supply of oil and lowering gas prices.

Many of the politicians who wag their fingers at price gougers have also opposed opening the ANWAR to oil drilling. Perhaps they have a soft spot in their hearts for carbon-rich renewable resources. Maybe the American people do, too. If the pristine wilderness were valuable enough, people would pay for it. But unless people were able to freely bid on the ANWAR, we have no way of knowing how much people prefer caribou to cheaper, more abundant gasoline.

Sanctions against Iran – Iran is one of the major producers of oil and has large oil reserves within its borders. However, Americans are currently unable to enjoy the benefits of peaceful trade with Iran, thanks to economic sanctions imposed by the US government on Iran (except for specific goods). If these sanctions were lifted, it is likely we would see a major importer of Iranian oil, having a large, wealthy population.

Neocons would flip out at such a suggestion, in their eyes, Americanhood would be helping to fund the terrorists. On the contrary, if the Iranian government, which owns the oil industry, gains economic interest from the production of oil, it would have much less incentive to keep up the sanctions and try to destroy America.

Destroying America would mean the elimination of the tax. It’s a creationist called price gouging. The seller can make sure that those who need gas the most will get it by raising prices. When prices go up, some customers will decide that gas is not worth the new price. This leaves only the customers who are willing to pay that much. Although politically incorrect, this is how the market works due to the way people naturally act in response to changes in scarcity. Pro-poor gouging is not the course of action that make sense economically. And yet politicians call it "manipulation of the market".

If, of course, oil becomes more readily available, the prices will go down. But government intervention by altering the market or by action to supply terrorists with weapons. As Chris Ellison eloquently put it:

"While the administration is busy getting rid of benefits that other students don’t have, they seem to be forgetting a few of the bad parts. There are unique Caltech, and students from all over the country. The market system has changed so much that it resembles that of an inflexible place, and graduates will not know their field.

So speak up, or else the efforts to make Caltech like “other schools” will make us worse than all of them,” remarked Ellison at the end of his article. With so many steps taken to make Caltech like other schools, we find ourselves with every more reason to protest.

If Caltech does not maintain its exceptionalism, then the disadvantages of the school will be the same. The only way else would a prospective student be faced with a screaming lunatic telling him that Caltech is worse here, but then matriculate anyway?

To advocate further government intervention as a way of helping consumers is to advocate the risky and can only make things worse. The price of gas, as mentioned, would go up on gasoline in the 1970’s resulted in long lines at the pumps. Imposing fines on price gougers is no solution. The practice of further government intervention would be scared away from the daunting workload necessary to

The Perks of Caltech are a necessity to attract students who would be scared away from the daunting workload necessary to finish... If it was not for the generous financial aid package, I would have seriously considered going elsewhere.

As a result of their education, then the $2901 each year in student fees is significant.

The Perks of Caltech are a necessity to attract students who would be scared away from the daunting workload necessary to finish... If it was not for the generous financial aid package, I would have seriously considered going elsewhere.
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A wise man (David Carradine) once said, "The taking of a life does no one honor." That is irrelevant, because this is an article about a track meet. More specifically, it is about the 2006 SCIAC Championships Track Meet, which was the sort of track meet where no one died, except in the metaphorical sense of their soul being broken by a crushing defeat. (To experience a crushing defeat for yourself, just have a fat person stomp on your shoes.)

The championship meet represents the culmination of months of diligent preparation and grueling training. Overcoming earlier injuries, setbacks, defeats, and frustration, runners at last round into perfect form for one competition, where the full glory of their youthful athletic grace bursts forth. They wage epic battles, set records, and force back the very limits of human ability. Unfortunately for Caltech, most of the runners doing these things are from other schools, or in the Olympics or something. Still the Caltech men managed sixth place, beating La Verne and Whittier (and making them cry) thank (and hope because I am mean but not really because I am kidding (let’s see if the eds let the parentheticals slide))).

Frisbee Team Retains Ninth Seed at Regionals

Tai Carvalho grabs the disc in a game against Claremont. Caltech’s 2-3 record allowed them to keep their 9th seed ranking out of 15 teams in the Southeast Ultimate Frisbee regionals.

BY: MARK EICHENLAUB

A wise man (David Carradine) once said, "The taking of a life does no one honor." That is irrelevant, because this is an article about a track meet. More specifically, it is about the 2006 SCIAC Championships Track Meet, which was the sort of track meet where no one died, except in the metaphorical sense of their soul being broken by a crushing defeat. (To experience a crushing defeat for yourself, just have a fat person stomp on your shoes.)
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Jeremy Leibs believes that by hitting the hurdles, he ends up going faster because he extracts energy from them. He holds this belief because he is stupid.

By the way, despite the fact that Jeremy Leibs believes that by hitting the hurdles, he ends up going faster because he extracts energy from them. He holds this belief because he is stupid.

Jeremy Leibs, an bouncy individual, cleared in the high jump. If you plan on clearing 6'2.75", the high jump is an excellent choice of an event in which to do it, as that mark will not count for much in say, pole vault or steeplechase. It was good for third for Jordan. Jordan also competed well in the long jump and triple jump, which was a nice touch.

In all, twelve Techers made the all-conference team. They were First Team: Jeremy Leibs (110m HH)
Second Team: Tim Tirrell (400m, 4x100, 4x400), Stuart Ward (400, 4x100, 4x800), Pat Boyle (400, 4x100, 4x400), Jeremy Leibs (4x100), Jordan Carlson (high jump), Matt Kiesz (4x800, 800), Mark Eichenlaub (5000), Helen Tai (1000, 4000), Natalie Szward (pole vault), Rene Davis (javelin, 4x400), Gina Gage (4x400, Angela Magee (4x400)

Some other Techers who set personal bests, competed well, and made me bribe money to see their names in print, include Ransom Poythress and Kevin Noertker (javelin, race-walk), Will Shau (shot, hammer, putts-eating), Katherine Brendon and Tyson Mar (1500m, 5000m, rubbles cire), David "Cupcake" Rosen (steeplechase, crabwalk), Eva Murdock, Kevin Dick and Bad Coalson (3000m, painful-face-making), Zack Higbee (pole vault, videotaping), Tencia Lee and Seth Hendrickson (5000m, 3000m, popped), Dina Kuenasovskly (200m, 400m, screening), Sarah Skalos (15000, fake event), and Anybody (as a choice for contaminant). Actually that’s just everyone else on the team. They might not all have done well. Maybe some of them choked and I’m just not going to tell you. Sort of gives life a little flavor of mystery, huh?
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**Fleming Cannon Returned**

Fleming manages to spend Five Times as Much as MIT in the Process; FEMA Vows to Learn from Big Stupid Babies

**BY: SEAN MATTLINGLY**

The former FEMA Director, appearing on the Colbert Report, was quoted as saying: "I love these guys. We used to give them this money all at once to accomplish what could have been done in five or six pieces. For example, everything would fix itself if we gave them a $600,000 grant to five different groups!" Fleming really let the deed shaw through their thoughtful and well-executed plan." The Big Stupid Babies celebrated the homecoming by spending an inordinately long time moving the cannon 25 feet.

Seana Mattingly is the President of Page House, and doesn't like the color brown. He also thinks Flemens like refrigerators.

---

**The Ratio as provided being male (men): female (women)**

- 2.9:1
- Straight and single: 4.43:1
- Single, straight, looking for dating: 4.89:1
- Free for all (total bisexual students):
  - Total men: 10
  - Total gay men: 2
  - Total lesbian women: 19
  - Total single lesbian women: 4
  - Ayn Rand ratio (single and libertarian): 171:1 (no, she will not sleep with you, no matter how many of these cartoons you are). Rocky Horror Picture Show fans got it at 4:7.
- Porn lovers: 3:1 (includes the gay who interest list says "definitely gay, but don't know"

I appear to be on the wrong side of the sexual harassment issue as well. Who would not prefer to be a "talented, hard-working" woman full of righteous indignity to a "good-looking, hard-working" male whose main method of rating films is based on specimen eggs? My intent is to give the women at Caltech a fair shake; I have never been less kindly but also I make me wonder if she may become awkward to go to the bathroom. Harnessing the power of Facebook, which is undeniably a perfectly-ubiquitous source of data, I have discovered the true ratios at Caltech, and provide them here for the edification of the community.

I believe this data is a strong first step towards a greater understanding of obstacles Caltech students face due to "The Ratio." Having done my part to get the ball rolling, I shall now graciously duck out. I would like to thank Mary Zackenberg, for switching to guns, and Carmen Electra, for assisting with research.

---

**Tech Poll Results**

**BY: JON SENN**

Are some subject matters too offensive to allow discussion in the Commentary section?
- Yes: 73.81% (211 votes)
- No: 68.06% (211 votes)
- I don’t know: 5.81% (18 votes)

Are some subject matters (eg. pornography reviews) too offensive to allow discussion in the Humour section, regardless of the tone/language used?
- Yes: 34.52% (107 votes)
- No: 61.26% (190 votes)
- I don’t know: 3.87% (12 votes)

Should large degrees of vulgarity be curtailed in the Commentary section?
- Yes: 58.73% (173 votes)
- No: 38.01% (118 votes)
- I don’t know: 3.26% (10 votes)

Should large degrees of vulgarity be curtailed in the Humour section?
- Yes: 43.20% (132 votes)
- No: 44.56% (138 votes)
- I don’t know: 12.24% (38 votes)

---

**STRAIGHTpril?**

**BY: MARK EICHLAUN**

I commend Zack Ramadan (Gay Rocket Scientists), Sonia Tikoo (The Ratio of Doom), and Brian Hanley (Cramped Hands) for their thoughtful editorials appearing in The Tech last week. Zack’s report on PRISM’s planned activities for GAYpril was not only informative and personal, but also a paragon of taste. Sonia captured her experiences “at hand”. His pithy opening line, “Being a woman at Caltech…” was obviously offensive and cruel to the prophet of my faith even though it is forbidden, but I refuse to pass judgment on anyone in place of God.

The Tech has enough difficulty with vulgarity be curtailed in the Commentary section. In addition to the multiple choice questions, we also asked two open-ended questions:
- "Is there any additional feedback you’d like to provide regarding vulgar material?”
- "If you were a "talented, hard-working” woman full of righteous indignity to a "good-looking, hard-working” male whose main method of rating films is based on specimen eggs?

In my opinion, this was clearly the most offensive statement. Most of the comments against vulgarity should not be offensive simply to offend, but to achieve a greater purpose.

One primary concern the students expressed was that much of the offensive content we’ve published "is not material they feel should be sent to many parents and friends. If the material is offensive, we’d like to provide regarding vulgar material.”
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