Caltech Rocks Out
In Accordance with Approved Safety Procedures

By MARISSA CEVALLOS

What started out as a joke last year between a few members of ASCIT turned into a Saturday night rock concert and BBQ free to the Caltech community.

The four hours of free food and wailing guitars on Saturday night were only possible with 7 months of coordinating meetings, finding last-minute funding, organizing volunteers, buying food, countless emails, office visits, and so on," said Dina Kernasovskly, ASCIT social director.

The idea to bring a concert to Caltech began as a far-fetched idea hatched by ASCIT members Dima and Raquel "Rocky" Velez. According to fellow member Chris Gonzales, "Dima and Rocky sat down at the beginning of (Dima's) term to figure out what cool events we should try to do. The concert was on there as some ridiculous never-gonna-happen event." The joke turned quasi-serious when Chris, bored one night during third term, decided to "take it to the next step" and figure out a price estimate. "I pissed off Dima with this number, telling him to start raising money and to cancel every other social event on our calendar."

After a few more careful calculations, the trio figured it could raise $25,000 from a Moore and Hufstedler Fund (MHF) grant, and another $5,000 from ASCIT's yearly budget. With a realistic budget, ASCIT looked at which bands it could afford. From a long list of bands, the group narrowed their options to about ten bands. According to Rocky, "anyone who cost more than $35K, we hadn't heard of, or we knew couldn't happen event."

ASCIT turned the filtered band list into a campus-wide poll last year, which Jimmy Eat World won by a
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Tete-a-Tete with Tim Chang
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rently. In addition, the Housing office previously would match at face value the $10 housing advertisements fee for each undergraduate paid each term. Combined with the fact that the Housing office largely paid the damages on their own, the current changes explained are looking at 5 years down the line. This means that the Housing office will be able to also and the Charger apartments will become doubles again, for example. They will remain in their location on a 3-year contract and will be rented out to organizations who wish to take them.

He also talked about the addition of Avery House. "As clearly evident here, we try to do it or for faculty, but we couldn't do it or faculty." Tim Chang stated that they tried doing a towels-only service, but the policy is that these off-campus apartments exist only for over-20 students. The Housing office does have a need to put new graduate students in the Avery House. They can rent it and make sure that the Avery House is fully utilized. The Housing office also mentioned that the Avery House was largely unadvertised. Tim Chang explained, "They were informed of the room-cleaning policy for next year."

Tim Chang also provided a rough update on the renovations. The linen service and the existing room-cleaning per term were largely unadvertised. Tim Chang suggested that the rooms-cleaning per term for free. It's included and you don't have to pay for it. We don't want a second cleaning. The third one, you still have to pay for it. Typically, if you do it right, in the middle of the term, you can get it cleaned.

The new service was also discontinued this year, due to large costs from sheets that were not being returned. The people who used it [the linen service] tended to not return the sheets. After the rooms-cleaning part for free. It's included and you don't have to pay for it, you get a second cleaning. The third one, you will have to pay for it, typically. If you do it right, in the middle of the term, you can get it cleaned.

The new service was also discontinued this year, due to large costs from sheets that were not being returned. The people who used it [the linen service] tended to not return the sheets. After the rooms-cleaning part for free. It's included and you don't have to pay for it. We don't want a second cleaning. The third one, you still have to pay for it. Typically, if you do it right, in the middle of the term, you can get it cleaned.

The new service was also discontinued this year, due to large costs from sheets that were not being returned. The people who used it [the linen service] tended to not return the sheets. After the rooms-cleaning part for free. It's included and you don't have to pay for it. We don't want a second cleaning. The third one, you still have to pay for it. Typically, if you do it right, in the middle of the term, you can get it cleaned.

The new service was also discontinued this year, due to large costs from sheets that were not being returned. The people who used it [the linen service] tended to not return the sheets. After the rooms-cleaning part for free. It's included and you don't have to pay for it. We don't want a second cleaning. The third one, you still have to pay for it. Typically, if you do it right, in the middle of the term, you can get it cleaned.

The new service was also discontinued this year, due to large costs from sheets that were not being returned. The people who used it [the linen service] tended to not return the sheets. After the rooms-cleaning part for free. It's included and you don't have to pay for it. We don't want a second cleaning. The third one, you still have to pay for it. Typically, if you do it right, in the middle of the term, you can get it cleaned.

The new service was also discontinued this year, due to large costs from sheets that were not being returned. The people who used it [the linen service] tended to not return the sheets. After the rooms-cleaning part for free. It's included and you don't have to pay for it. We don't want a second cleaning. The third one, you still have to pay for it. Typically, if you do it right, in the middle of the term, you can get it cleaned.
Baltimore's Unbudgetable Budget Behemoth

Brandy Cossairt

You might have felt concerned when Caltech announced budget cuts at the start of the year, you might have wished you had had some chance to participate in making the decisions, but it doesn't matter what you think, because the decisions have already been made without you. Caltech is facing a serious structural deficit on the order of $28 million in its general budget. The Caltech administration has recently made many decisions in order to eliminate this deficit by 2008. All of these decisions were made without any student input, even though many of the cuts affect students directly. Of major concern to the undergraduate community is a $2000 increase in financial aid self-help, in other words an effective $2000 decrease in aid, a 4 to 5% tuition increase for current students and a 8-10% increase for incoming students, removal of health insurance from the cost of tuition to be charged separately, and cuts to student activities, including the Book Store, C-Store, and Red Door, must come up with another $3 million, most likely out of students' pockets.

It's enough to boggle the mind that so many things that directly affect student life can be changed without any student input. It seems more and more that Caltech is putting the well being of students at the bottom of its list of priorities. In the minds of some Caltech administrators the ideal undergraduate is one that stays in his room, goes to class, gets perfect grades, and never questions the operations of the institute in which he lives and learns. Unfortunately for these administrators, we undergrads are not all so passive. We will not just sit and watch the place we once loved fall into a downward spiral in which student input, thought, creativity, and freedom are lost. I encourage you all to talk to the administration, especially VP of Business and Finance Dean Currie, Margo Marshak, Tom Mannion, Dean John Hall and Dean Barbara Green, and the provost, talk to your professors, talk to your housemates, talk to the ASCIT BOD and the IRC, talk to the trustees, talk to your friends, alumni, and parents, talk to the local newspaper, talk to prospective students, talk to anyone who might care about the future of Caltech and make it clear that you care about undergraduate life at Caltech, make it known that you love this place as it has been and are worried about its future.

To all geographically isolated Americans, hello and good luck with finals. Do not curse me for wishing you well on final exams because though UC Irvine is not big on assignments, hard core memorization heavy, lengthy exams do await me next week. All the same, I did not write to whine about work, I can do that when at tech. However, I can not take weekend trips to different countries when at home. One of the greatest things about Britain is that it is a small island nation, it is very close to all of Europe, which has lots and lots of other countries, where they speak lots of other languages and have interesting customs. I decided to make use of the proximity and reasonably low air fares and visit a country in which I had not been before. I did not choose the more commonly visited Italy, France, or Spain, instead I decided to acquaint myself with Scandinavia and went to Oslo, Norway.

Oslo, the capital of Norway, is a city of maybe half a million that is located around a body of water. The city is small enough to be seen from a local mountain top and very clean. Like many European cities, it has a public transport system, which is useful since the main attractions of the city are scattered. The city is quite big and one can see one day, if there is sunlight for enough hours. Norway is often referred to as the land of the midnight sun because for one day every summer day, the sun does not set. Overall, the statue does not sound too interesting, the Norwegians might just be very family oriented. This is my impression of all the different cultures we are in the mode. Last I checked, most parents and children remained clothed when interacting on an everyday basis. Scandinavia has been known for its comfortable take on nudity and not making it a big deal. Even so, I did hear one local refer to the "park of the shameless" half in jest and half with sincerity.

The coolest part of the ship was the history buffs, there were lots of other tourists, perhaps the most British on mainland Europe and UK history. If you ever get a chance to visit somewhere new, do it. I have heard Canada is actually quite different from the U.S. Anyways, have a restful Winter Break and I will write to you and see you in January.

Almost Done with British Schooling –Mayra

You Go Norway and I'll Go Mine

By MAYRA SHEIKH

Norway is ridiculously expensive. My atrophied arithmetic skills were built up again by continuously converted prices to USD and being appalled. A Cappuccino and 2" x 2" brownie cost about $10 at a tourist cafe. I guess Dinsay had to learn from somewhere. Besides the prices, Oslo is a nice city. It has lots of shops with Norwegian names I do not remember and more mainstream things like H&M or Friday's. The most bizarre shop I encountered was 7-eleven, located inside the equivalent of a mall. Bitter yet, 7-eleven was like more like a coffee shop than a mini-mini liquor store, and they had a very frilly display of baked goods. It was definitely one of those cultural differences people are always speaking of.

On the topic of differences, Oslo has an interesting park called "Vigelandsparken." The park is named after a sculptor who made the many statues that line the main walkway and even the really large piece that is the centerpiece. Most of the sculptures are of familial scenes, father’s playing with babies, mothers pretending to be horses, holding children, and a famous crying baby. Of course, this doesn’t sound too interesting, the Norwegians might just be very family oriented. The one odd behavior that all the statues in the park are in the mode. Last I checked, most parents and children remained clothed when interacting on an everyday basis. Scandinavia has been known for its comfortable take on nudity and not making it a big deal. Even so, I did hear one local refer to the "park of the shameless" half in jest and half with sincerity.

The center of the park is a large tower. Overall, the statue does not sound too interesting, the Norwegians might just be very family oriented. This is my impression of all the different cultures we are in the mode. Last I checked, most parents and children remained clothed when interacting on an everyday basis. Scandinavia has been known for its comfortable take on nudity and not making it a big deal. Even so, I did hear one local refer to the "park of the shameless" half in jest and half with sincerity.

The coolest part of the ship was the history buffs, there were lots of other tourists, perhaps the most British on mainland Europe and UK history. If you ever get a chance to visit somewhere new, do it. I have heard Canada is actually quite different from the U.S. Anyways, have a restful Winter Break and I will write to you and see you in January.
Irrational Exuberance

Hey, I haven't seen much of Irrational Exuberance lately!

Maybe you should call it "Irregular Exuberance"!

Or "Incontinent Exuberance"... that's a good one... hahaha... ha...

What happened to you?

Don't ask.

I have teh rabies #111

---

This Comic is not Offensive Enough for the WHC

---

Welcome, class. You can use me to the whereabouts of your students. I am teaching this class the right way. To teach the whole class: I'll tell myself.

Dark order to sprite them around me. My professor is the one who created this professor. And for the next midterm, YOU ARE ALL MY SLAVE! YAY! IRREVERENT SIMPSON!

I believe that you still feel this course is intellectually stimulating and brutal... THERE'S NO WAY TO ESCAPE MY WRAP! THE LAST CHANCE TO DROP THIS CLASS WAS YESTERDAY!

Reason for rejection - Dr. Jekyll's "will" rests was too brutal. Brakes, irrevocable disorder causes too much disorder.

---

Dr. Rosenslan: My name is Dr. Rosenslan, your OHIO 001 professor. Your first assignment in this class is mandatory: you must read this phone book. You will read from Bahamut to Ormuz.

---

Dr. Roberts: Good morning, class. I am Dr. Roberts, and we are here to start our human anatomy class. We will all study: we will all study.\n
And all answers I will make all of your lives a living hell. EMBRACE AND GIVE ME A 550 WORD ESSAY! Reason for rejection - No one could do human anatomy. So Prof. Powerhouse quickly lost his authority. In fact, after losing one answer, he had to leave the profession to become a pretty ladybird.

---

Dr. ROBOTS: Greetings! I am the robot obedient helpful obliging organic teacher of organization world. 0960095 005 556 52 00 844, a.k.a., Dr. ROBOTS. Controlling imitation in the course C51. What? We have a rebel as a leader? Leave our profession is being king and queen, but isn't it a bit strange? Dr. ROBOTS: Human. Present your name, rank, and serial no.

---

Dr. Roberts: Hello???

Dr. ROBOTS: Inappropriate response. Beginning demolition of human form. David, you're joking, right??:

Reason for rejection - Not realizing that one student, Dr. Roberts can not do physics. Physics repair: it would require 2 double A batteries. We don't have the budget for that...
A certain sequence of events has led us to believe that Avery is the administration's favorite child. Everything Avery wants Avery gets. Over the past couple of years this treatment has led Avery to become a bratty house. In May 2004 undergraduate poll, only 61 of the 442 students responded "yes," while a whopping 363 responded "no": "Are you in favor of the proposal to allow freshmen to live in Avery in 2005?" When a few vocal people in Avery wanted to become a house against the will of the vast majority of students, the administration caved. But, as with similar issues in recent history, they chose the incredibly deceptive method of pushing the date back one year. No longer satisfied with the idea that "no" means "no," the administration has adopted the policy that no means "yes, but in one year." It's as if administration is trying to fuck us in the ass without even the courtesy of a reach around. Freshly equipped with the privileges of housedom, Avery has joined the other houses' meal plan, partakes in house traditions, has joined into the overall social atmosphere of Caltech, and appreciates the same quality of life found in other houses, or so Avery wants you to think.

Avery still has its same, old, much superior meal plan. Many students here believe that this difference is because Avery pays more for their board plan. In reality, Avery pays the same as the other houses for board. It's for their rooms that they are charged more. But we're not against this meal plan, and we wish that all of the houses shared the same quality and freedoms. Students under Avery's plan are provided enough declining balance to eat in the houses every weekday for dinner and still have an extra $.50 more left over per day than students on the other houses' board plan. They also have the extra dinner option of superior food served in the Citrus Bistro, as well as the extra flexibility of not being charged over $12.50 when they don't like what's for dinner or aren't on campus for dinner.

Earlier this term, two upperclassmen living in Avery planned a fake Ditch Day, a common and enjoyed tradition in all of the houses in past years. As thanks for their efforts, these students received a multitude of complaints from students who were woken up early and subjected to loud noises. Some of those complaining students were petty enough to at least thank the upperclassmen for treating Avery like a real house. Among other rumored responses on the Avery house mailing list were that in the future seniors perform fake Ditch Days at 9:00am or late, rather than pounding on doors just slip notes under doors that say "Ditch Day" on them. Apparently, for the residents of Avery, 8:00am is much too early to have notes slipped under their door. They certainly don't want to have to open their door and let in all the cold air of their housing provided air conditioning.

Speaking of opening doors, we suggest the students of Avery take a break from diminishing the world's supply of tissues and Vaseline and begin to meet their fellow housemates. A friend of ours made the now regretted decision to live in Avery this year. Last month we were in Avery visiting this friend, and got about the same feeling as we would walking through any other house at 4:00am: it was deathly quiet. The only difference was that it was 10pm on a Friday night, understandably far too late for birds to be awake. As Avery does not charge house dues, but instead is given a social budget from the administration, we think students should all be a little more open to exploring the use of Avery's courtyard for beverage consumption. Because though, those Avery houses have itchy dial fingers and like to call security upon the it seems that the four houses that have been exiled to the lifestyle of the outdoors and mobile could make good use of a full-sized court-yard. The key point here is that in order to make use of outdoor space one must spend time outside of one's room.

Some students have made efforts to make Avery feel like a house. Avery now competes in Interhouse, when they show up to their games. Avery's bastardized introduction into the house system is now being legitimized by amending the so-called out of date ASCIT bylaws and by giving the house rumored to have approximately half of all BoC cases in past years their very own BoC rep. Too bad you can't BoC someone for lying, or we'd have to BoC every student in Avery who claims Avery is a house.

As we conclude our first article about Avery, we are reminded of the following quote from the Caltech Rotational Rules:

"There are a lot of gay Booty Houses. "All Booty House are chain-smoking necrophiliacs." "Everyone from Booty House is a Literature major. Heroin addict...and they have bad teeth, too."

Rants from that Drunk Guy in the Corner is a weekly column of rants written by a variety of pissed off Pageboys. Look for more rants on Avery in coming weeks. Send comments, article ideas, or hate mail, to joshua.gutman@caltech.edu or jon.senn@caltech.edu

---

**Read**

**The Grand Unified Theory of Physics**

by Joseph M. Brown

Discover the connections among relativity, matter waves, and magnetism

- Photon mass captured by a matter particle is always captured eccentrically to conserve angular momentum.
- The eccentric mass always makes the matter particle charge undulate since its center of mass takes a straight path. The wave length $\lambda$ is h/p where h is Planck's Constant and p is the matter particle momentum.
- The undulation produces an inverse square force of attraction with a similar moving charged particle.
- The force is $(v/c)^2$ times the electrostatic force. It is the magnetic force.
- If an observation frame moves at the same velocity as the charges the force is still the same but the acceleration measured in the moving frame changes by the factor $1-(v/c)^2$ so that the magnetic force is masked.
- Newtonian relativity produces magnetism and de Broglie Waves.

For more information and to access the book, visit [www.physicsunifiedtheory.com](http://www.physicsunifiedtheory.com)

---

**Get Your Copy**

of The Grand Unified Theory of Physics

- Amazon.com
- BarnesandNoble.com
- CampusBookMart.com
- Order from Your Local Bookstore

Also available at most U.S. College Libraries

Published by Basic Research Press

Starksville, MS 39759 Ph (662) 323-2844

ISBN 0971294461 $29.95

©2005 Basic Research Press
Caltech has always prided itself on its world-renowned faculty, but Caltech has never been famous for its teachers. Every day I hear stories about terrible lectures dense as lead, TAs who skim over questions, tests written, delivered, and graded without a shred of humanity. Brilliance glitters in our classes, but it twinkles against a darkened heaven; too many profs turn their brilliance to matters beyond methods for inspirational teaching.

With my classmates I have filled out a course evaluation for every teacher, good or bad, since freshman year. These are forwarded to department heads who, so they tell me, evaluate the responses and make their decisions accordingly. Since freshman year, I have not noticed a dramatic change, and the persistence of this condition leads me to two possible conclusions: either the department heads do not know, or they do not care.

I am not willing to accept that the people in charge of deciding who teaches what and where would not care about the results of those decisions. Rather, I think it’s a matter of information flow. Depending on who is talking, students either “do not” or “cannot” accurately communicate their experiences to faculty and administrators. Students do not fill out course evaluations rigorously because Techers do not perceive their efficacy; this in turn can cause department heads to pay little heed to the evaluations, at which point they are indeed ineffective, further enhancing students’ perceptions. The logical escape from that cycle is direct communication with the professor, but that is where the Techers “do not” become “cannot.”

No one likes to hear how to do his or her job, especially from a lowly serf-student in the kingdom academic. Profs surely appreciate feedback like “Great lecture today,” or “Could you cover some more examples of Bessel functions tomorrow?” but this is not the feedback that corrects chronically poor teaching. Profos can be as obtuse as Techers sometimes, and they cannot learn clarity without some very direct feedback. But that’s as awkward and intimidating as telling the Pope he said “Jeebus” in his last pronouncement. The only route to honest feedback is to circumvent the student-teacher relationship in a direct and dynamic way.

Until the 19th century, University professors used the hoods accompanying doctoral robes to collect tuition directly from lectured students. Indeed, direct student contributions were widespread in the days of Ancient Greece. It would be a pain to bring a checkbook to every lecture, but I suggest instead that an internet lecture rating system be set up to give students the option of anonymous feedback at the end of every lecture. This would allow profs to see how effective their past lectures were before giving the next, and furthermore allow them to experiment with new teaching techniques over the course of a term. Department heads would get a more accurate view of faculty performance which might offer more concrete suggestions than would a few final ratings on a post-mortem course evaluation. Having an automated system would give students the freedom to respond whenever and however much they like. Students will more accurately and powerfully if they can register their minted impressions on this morning’s lecture before the ink is dry on their notes.

Improving student-faculty communication is to our mutual benefit, as is the goal of making the uncomfortable as comfortable as possible. All Techers have a desire to be pushed to our limits by great teachers; it’s why we came here. I would like to think that even the profs who came here primarily to express their scientific genius in the lab still relish the idea of fanning that spark of genius in their students. We don’t always want to go to class, and neither do our teachers, but we’re stuck with each other, so help me help you teach me.

Note: There are many fine teachers at this Institution, and more than a few without whom I would not be here today. You know who you are: thank you.
Caltech's Own Little Axis of Evil

By PAULINE KU

Over the past year or so, the administration has blatantly shown us that they just don’t care about the student body, at least not enough to inform us about certain changes until, of course, it’s too late. This has occurred with less consequential services such as the now non-existent linen service. Most recently, this has occurred with the infamous Caltech Dining Services and the most effective Prom of all time.

And how could anyone forget the campus-altering decision to make Avery a house? A decision in which neither the seven original houses nor Avery had any say. So why did some people feel it necessary or even fit to make Avery into an eighth house, and who exactly was behind this capricious action? The answer, it appears, is much more complicated than at first thought. And behind it all are two self-interested key individuals in a global plot involving fame, money, and none else but world-domination.

The first of these masterminds is none other than our current retiring president, whom some deem D-Ballz. In a final effort to secure his immortal spot in Caltech’s presidential history, D-Ballz has, unbeknownst to us, been implementing his master plan for Avery and the greater good for Caltech and Caltech’s Asians.

His plan involves the conversion of Avery into an irresistible Asian Magnet that will eventually turn this newest house into a modern day internment camp, the insidious nature of which will trick the majority of future inhabitants to enter unwittingly, yet at least at first voluntarily.

What advantages could possibly lay in segregating Asians on campus, and how would this win fame for both Caltech and our retiring president? By turning Avery into a SUPERASIAN FUN HOUSE, replete with future advertisement made with alternating classical piano music and clips from Korean comedy shows and concerts, complete with audience reactions and Asian laugh tracks, Caltech gathers the most easily appeasable, productive, and passive aggressive ethnic group in one location, already replete with gates and unmanned guard towers.

Once fully converted according to the outlines of D-Ballz’s prescient plan, Avery will become a logical campus tourist attraction and the extolled brainchild of Caltech with constant exposure in carefully phrased propaganda and publicity events. The other seven houses will then become the hateful, disobedient children ignored completely in public, and recognized in private only to dole out more restrictions, admonitions, and punishments. Thus Avery will solve Caltech’s budget and Asian inefficiency problem in one ingenious stroke.

Before this year, Avery’s status caused troublesome vehicles since Avery still had no condominium, mainly white/non-Asian members with other house members living there either voluntarily or involuntarily. BUT D-Ballz’s plan deffly takes care of these bother-some noncompliants while ingeniously hiding behind the screen of normalization.

With several years of experience observing house culture and its destitute interaction, it was not difficult for D-Ballz to construct a plan carelessly and unnecessarily exploiting the predictable behavior of each house, social, and ethnic group on campus according to the proven, emerging science of psychohistory.

To the uninformed Techer eye, all these changes appear to be not logical and justified, just the latest constrictions from an administration that is slowly but surely erasing the life out of all too helpless student body. However, to the trained psychonaut eye, D-Ballz’s supreme design becomes all too painfully clear in every single subtle manipulation.

Even this year’s trailer design has been carefully factored in. With such a close distance to Avery house, clashes between the long-standing houses and the new reluctant addition have been clearly evident in such events as the multihouse tour of Avery after the Dabney Drag Show.

Other seemingly unrelated conflicts will soon present themselves such as the enraged outcry from Flens about the placement of the DDR machine in a multipurpose trailer on their otherwise quiet Westside. After much flummery, feigned contention the HBC decides to move the machine to its logical home in Avery House, and Fleming once again creates a private house gym sans DDR machine.

So gradually not only will Avery house faculty but a terrifying new breed of RAs intent upon assisting the end of Student Life as we know it.

My fear is that Avery may not be the end but rather a means to an end, a model for the rest of Caltech. Avery will of course be the first to change, but what are the renegotiations really for? All we hear are matches of what may or may not be changed, built, or replaced.

Gates with card access may be put in, but to keep intruders out or occupants in? They’re eliminating the beloved SAC and Coffeehouse for the dubious creation of a separation outside Student Center? With Caltech’s current budget crisis, I THINK NOT! But most terrifying is the possible conversion of additional apartments in the South Houses into house faculty but a terrifying new breed of RA’s intent upon assisting the end of Student Life as we know it.

So gradually not only will Avery be repopulated by an insane new breed of Techer characterized by qualities mainly found in the typical Caltech Asian: a strong dedication/obsession to working hobbies such as piano, go, and moon cake/tea/pocky parties.

But how did our esteemed president come up with and implement such an extremely well-strung plan all by his lonesome? The fact is he didn’t. He had an unlikely co-conspirator in the form of the half-de­mon, freedom-stomper of a Housing Director, a Mr. Timothy Chang, otherwise known as Timmy C.

His plan, much more malicious than the selfish dealings of D-Ballz, has the classic underlying design of world-domination. It is really quite simple: making Tech more Asian and efficient is good for North Korea. With more Asians, more Korean spies can be accepted into Tech where they’ll be trained in technology, they can bring back to their native country and thus assist Kim Jong-il in his plan to take over the entire world.

The changing Tech would be the perfect place for these clost communist in their perpetual loss of individuality, creativity, and individual/group privileges. With the implementation of 7-day board, CDS can become as even better model of the rationing food system in communist countries.

As one can see, this diabolical plan reaches far beyond anything at first suspected, extending to God only knows how many people, the President, the Housing Direc­tor, Director of Dining Services Andre Muller, to name but a few.

And there doesn’t seem to be any easy prevention of this institutional apocalypse. It’s clear that the best one can do is transfer or convert to communism and concede, concede, CONCEDE!
Free-flying Frisbee Frollicks with Caltech's Fabulous, Frisky Females

By MARISSA CEVALLOS

Caltech has a new sport that can take itself seriously in the collegiate arena, and it only involves a rotating plastic disc and a lot of estrogen.

The Caltech Women's Ultimate Frisbee team, Snatch, achieved a 4-2 win-loss record at the So-Cal Warm-up on Nov 19 and 20, the pre-season frisbee competition for schools across California.

This is the first year Caltech has ever fielded a women's ultimate team. Snatch brought an 11-person team for a game that has 7-players on the field, giving Snatch a somewhat comfortable pad of 4 subs; several schools had enough subs to rotate out entire sets of 7-player teams.

The team consisted of mostly graduate students, though Snatch has several undergrad members. The roster included: Sarah Payne (captain), Michelle Farkas, Mary Dunlop, Minh Huynh, Suzanne Golisz, Carolyn Brinkworth, Katherine Poulin, Rosie Jones, Margaret Pan, Laura Fishman, and Marissa Cevallos.

Game 1: UC Santa Barbara
Snatch started out the morning with a tiring match against UC-Santa Barbara's team, The Burn.

The California Tech
Caltech 40-58
Pasadena, CA 91125

Game 2: Cal Poly

Snatch played a fourth game, though not an official game in the tournament, against Claremont. The "varsity" game as it was called, didn't count for standings. Though playing this game right after a tough loss to UCSD, Snatch pulled out against a fairly young Claremont team (3 of whose best veterans were out injured). The game was close, with both teams scoring over 10 points.

Game 3: UC San Diego

While Snatch had just arrived on the fields, the Burning Skirts had already warmed up in a previous game. Despite the feminine prowess of a well-established UC team, Snatch managed to pull out against a fairly young team, The Burning Skirts for a final score of 3-13. However, the Burning Skirts did not fall down until the finals, so Caltech's loss was far from shameful.

Game 2: Cal Poly

In the second game, however, Snatch really had to work hard, narrowly beating Cal Poly's Slo-Motion, a team in its 18th year running. After trading points more-or-less equally until half-time, Snatch stepped up its game and beat Slo-Motion 13-9.

Game 4: UC San Diego
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