

Pasadena Police Brutalize Techers

By GROVER

Additional research by Mignon

Tom Murphy: "The cops were really on an ego trip or something. It reminded me of Kent State..."

John McNally: "This big fat cop came toward me and started pushing me toward the fire. He pointed towards the fire and told me to move. So I pointed to the fire and said 'You want me to go that way?' He said yes, so I ran..."

Candi McCoy: "What made me sick was that the police helicopters followed us even after the bonfire was over. It was (as if) they were making sure we wouldn't do anything else."

Tze Kin Ip: "I heard someone say 'I wonder if it's some left-wing radical group.' Obviously, we're not..."

What are all these people talking about? At 10:42pm on the night of Saturday October 25th, Caltech started the diversion for their first bonfire of the year. What started out as an enjoyable evening ended in arrests, citations, and injuries.

The diversion went smoothly and everyone ran to the fire site (Colorado and Mentor) at 11:13. The fire was being started just as everyone arrived with chanting and yelling following thereafter. The police were aware of the location because they had a helicopter watching the diversion. Ten squad cars arrived three minutes later. Someone told me that this was the entire Pasadena Police Department. When I called the PPD, they didn't deny it.

As the police exited their vehicles, they did not look happy. In fact, the ones that looked the least happy had already taken out their riot

sticks. Some people had been rearranging the fire to try to get more flames and Steve Trainoff had the misfortune of being spotted when he picked up a piece of wood and placed it on the fire.


Steve Trainoff: "Before I turned around I was turned around...I was completely bewildered, I couldn't imagine what I possibly did wrong."

Steve was taken aside and cuffed. Other Techers looked on helplessly. He was then put in a squad car. With the Techers slightly angry, the police began showing force.

John Quackenbush: "...I was standing with a small group of people on the street near the corner, in front of a parked police car, and this big goon dressed in a police monkey, with an IQ of about 30, came up and said 'Hey, you, get out (of) there. You're standing in front of a police car!' I just said 'Wow, there is a police car there!' He grabbed me by the throat, and he pushed me backwards. Then he grabbed my arm and whipped out (his) club and said 'Son, are you trying to get smart?' I said 'No, sir.' The guy said 'Well you better not try to get smart or I'll take your head off.' I thought I was going to be killed or hauled in for disturbing the peace. Then he said 'What are you going to do?' I said 'I'm just going to get on the sidewalk' He said, 'Well, you'd better get there quick.' I got up on the sidewalk and he started yelling at me for loitering and told me to walk down the street. I walked away..."

Then the firemen came and started hosing down the flames. The police then instructed the fire department to hose down

Photo by Paul S & M



the crowd. Whereby the firemen (under slight protest) obliged. Many Techers were sprayed, while the police just watched.

The fire was quenched, and the police immediately started directing people away. At least they called me 'sir'.

Meanwhile, don't forget about Steve Trainoff.

Steve: "My fingers had turned blue by the time we got to the police station, the cuffs were too tight. The arresting officer said 'You're arrested for arson, it's a felony, isn't it funny?' In the squad car one officer said 'If my house were burning down and all the cars were tied up at this fire, I would go to Tech and kick some asses...' I was interrogated at the station. They kept asking me who was at the

organizational meeting. I kept saying 'I don't know, I wasn't there.' They still kept asking."

Chris Wood and Sunney Chan went to the jail, trying to bail out (bail was set at \$5000) Steve.

Chris Wood: "I went to the arresting officer and said 'I'm the assistant dean. I'd like to know what arrangements I should make to free Steve.' And the officer replied 'I hope that we can give you the names of all the people who are dying while we're here baby-sitting you Techers.' ..."

The police department said it cost three thousand dollars to send all the men and equipment to the 'disturbance'. I called the fire department and they said it cost more like *twenty dollars!*

Please realize that many

things happened while people were walking back. I was in a group of about twenty when a Techer was being given a citation for obstructing traffic (walking in the street). As the twenty of us stood around watching, the officer realized that it was twenty vs. two and quickly called for **three** more cars. The police all stood around threatening to ticket us for loitering, and then they were going to arrest us for 'Illegal Assembly' And they actually meant it!

Is this what our 'fun bonfires' have come to? Don't you think it's ridiculous that the police sent the entire force (all ten cars) to cover some small school event. And what's going to happen when we win the next game?

BOC Under Rapid Fire and Defense

BOC Has Arbitrary Power Over Students

BOC: an Educator, Not a Persecutor

The ASCIT board of control (BOC) has potential life and death control over the undergraduate students at Caltech. They are the sole judges of whether a particular act is grounds for expulsion. We prefer to think that keeping our noses clean will keep us out of the BOC's inner chambers, but according to the booklet *The Undergraduate Honor System*, required reading for all new students, "...purity of motive, when unaided by awareness, will not necessarily guarantee purity of action." Since the BOC is unwilling to provide specific guidelines on how it judges violations, we are all unaided by the awareness necessary to escape judgement. While ignorance of the law is no excuse, it is also universal. There is only one way to find out if something is against the honor system—at the hands of the executioner.

The situation is even worse than I have painted it so far. Unlike a traditional court, there is no appeal possible in the event of a mistrial. "If a verdict of guilty is returned, the BOC recommends appropriate action to the undergraduate Dean of Students." Since the dean's main source of information about the trial comes from the BOC chairman, it isn't surprising that the dean follows the overwhelming majority of the BOC's recommendations. Recently I interviewed the BOC chairman,

Bryan Dunkeld, on the subject of problems with the honor system. I asked him, "What should a student do if he feels totally screwed by the BOC?" He replied, "No comment."

I don't want to imply that the BOC has been unfair. Since all of their proceedings occur behind closed doors, I'm not in a position to say much. My house's BOC representative, Rick Snider, indicated that while the current BOC is not particularly harsh in its recommendations, there may have been times when expulsion was fairly common, and I see no reason to believe this won't happen in the future. My point is that, regardless of whether the BOC is "objectively fair," students can feel and have felt screwed, and had no recourse but to swallow their macerated pride and slink away.

I'd like to make a suggestion. I've discussed this solution with Rick and Bryan and quite a number of interested students. I've concluded that, although it's not perfect, it provides a much more satisfactory answer to the question "What should a student do if he feels totally screwed by the BOC?" The reason I'm writing this in the student paper is twofold. First, the change should be discussed and understood throughout the student body to reveal flaws or

continued on page 2

Self-righteous Defender is rarely a sympathetic role when one plays to an audience of intellectuals. The interests of fair and accurate treatment of important issues, however, compel me in this instance to assume that unpopular station. Mr. Nichols gives us a dense and somewhat tangled piece of his mind containing many forceful statements about the Honor System. Since some of these are inaccurate and some others misguided, I hope that a critical commentary will clear up some of the issues raised and thereby promote a better understanding of the Honor System.

This is not, I hasten to add, a reflexive defense against a personal attack. Indeed, my sorry status as the most disagreed with and disagreeable member of the Board affords me the uniquely advantageous and dispassionate viewpoint of an insider looking in. What I do wish to accomplish is a point by point analysis of Mr. Nichols' article. I hope, as a net result, not so much to prove Mr. Nichols wrong as to shed light on the Honor System itself, an important but all too often obscure aspect of Caltech life.

Some of the assertions in Mr. Nichols' article are simply wrong. For clarity's sake, I'll drag them out and mow them down in order of their appearance in his article. (1) Mr. Nichols tells

us that the Dean's main source of information is the BOC chairman's report. Actually, the Dean talks at length with the defendant himself. The fact that the Dean very often agrees with the Board's decisions is a measure of just how carefully and painstakingly the Board makes them.

(2) In his description of the Star Wars ticket issue, Mr. Nichols' awkward style and rather heavy-handed attempts at sarcasm leave us with the mistaken impression that he is quoting Bryan Dunkeld when in reality the sentiments are purely his own. Mr. Nichols would have us believe that the lack of hard and fast rules in the Honor System serves to give power to the high and mighty Board. The truth, however, is that this principle was laid out by the floundering fathers of ASCIT for the expressed purpose of insuring that the Honor System would not be sustained by rules and punishments but rather by individual conscience and mutual trust.

(3) The next misstatement is that the function of the Board is to "get" those who we decide are misbehaving. It would be difficult to "get" much further from the truth. The purpose of the Board is to uphold the Honor System and maintain the community of trust, not to

continued on page 2

Point

from one

superior alternatives. Second, an ASCIT vote is required to make the change.

Currently, according to ASCIT bylaws, "No decision of a previous board shall be revoked unless the board is convinced that new evidence or changed conditions change the status of the original case or upon recommendation of the deans." This statement is ambiguous as well as ungrammatical. While brandishing my literary license, I will interpret this to mean "BOC decisions are final unless overturned by the dean or placed in question by [a majority of] the BOC membership." I see two problems with this method. First, I can't imagine the board retrying a case just because a student feels screwed. Second, the deans don't look at a trial record, just at the chairman's recommendations. Therefore a mistrial would never be apparent to them.

What's needed is an independent board capable of reviewing a trial in light of the defendant's objections, by analogy to the actions of an appeals court of the United States. The board must be chosen for fairness, as is the BOC, must understand the honor system intimately, and must be familiar with the procedures for testing honor system violations. Amazingly, just such a body already exists at Caltech. It is called the graduate review board (GRB).

- I recommend the following procedure:
- 1) The BOC trial takes place as usual, and the verdict is pronounced.
 - 2) The student determines whether he accepts the verdict. If he does, the case goes to the dean as usual.
 - 3) If the student appeals the case, the GRB is given a copy of the minutes of the trial to examine. The student is called in to present his case. The GRB rules on the fairness of the trial. If it was fair, the case goes to the dean as usual.
 - 4) If the case is ruled unfair, the GRB can either order a retrial or order the BOC to drop all charges (if harassment exists, for example).
 - 5) If a retrial occurs, the BOC modifies its procedure to alleviate the unfairness seen by the GRB. The student may appeal a retrial with the same effect as appealing the first trial.

While I was sorting through this can of worms, I uncovered a number of rather unsightly items which students should be aware of.

Recently, a sign appeared proclaiming it an honor system violation to sell your Star Wars movie ticket to a non-student. Bryan told me, "that's something we [of the BOC] don't condone." I feel students should ignore all such statements. No one can say with certainty that anything is an honor system violation. Only the BOC has the power to interpret the honor system, and they are bound to secrecy.

There has been, as yet, no policy statement addressing the subject of who is covered under the phrase "any member of the Caltech community". Bryan's personal opinion on the subject is enlightening. He said he thought the phrase should refer to anyone who understands the meaning of the honor system and feels bound by it. I also have an opinion. I think it should refer to anyone who can be taken to task and disciplined for unfair conduct, namely only grads and undergrads. Since a professor or staff member can currently take unfair advantage of a student, it seems unfair to restrict the student's ability to nullify an advantage taken by them. If professors, staff, etc., expect the advantages of fair treatment, they themselves

should be bound to behave fairly.

The handbook contains some peculiar advice. "Often students with whom the board talks are quite afraid or overawed. It cannot be overemphasized that such fear and awe is [sic] unnecessary; the BOC is not 'out to get' anyone." This is patently false. They are out to get people whose behavior conflicts with their interpretation of the honor system. I find it easy to empathize with a student standing in final judgement and feeling quite afraid. How will I tell my mother if I get expelled? Are these my friends or a group of hard-nosed authoritarians? Is this a star chamber? Is this the end? The defendant doesn't know the score.

All students have a right to know what kind of BOC they have elected. No one knows that he won't be next. If too many expulsions occur, the students should know, so they can vote the scoundrels out of office. I therefore advocate that, once each year, the BOC chairman be required to report to ASCIT for publication a breakdown of the dispositions of the cases it heard that year: so many convictions, suspensions, expulsions, etc.

Speaking of odd advice, the pamphlet claims "it is the responsibility of every student to protect the honor system. Conscious failure to do so will be considered a violation." It then goes on to advise the student who suspects a violation not to report it, but to "discuss any possible cases in abstract, and request [their BOC representative's] advice." This is apparently intended to protect the student's right not to report a violation.

Since it may be an honor system violation not to report a violation, your trusty reporter suddenly finds himself at a loss to recall any possible violations. Therefore I must deal with my final subject, selective reporting of violations, on the basis of a very large body of hearsay and innuendo.

continued on page 3

WHAT'S BIG, ORANGE, HARD, ROUND, COLD, AND FALLS AT 10 M/SEC²??



A pumpkin in FREE FALL

12PM Millikan.

IMMIGRATION ATTORNEY

STUART I. FOLINSKY

2459 Mission Road
San Marino
Phone: 799-0651

L.A. Office:
386-8540

THE CALTECH Y fly-by

Today, Friday, October 31: P. Pat Productions brings you the Bopkats for a NOON CONCERT. Enjoy a Rockabilly afternoon out on the Quad. There might even be a special guest appearance by the kids from the Child Development Center! Feel free to bring your lunch.

Wednesday, November 5: Tim Ferris, author in residence, continues to teach a WRITING WORKSHOP. This will again concentrate on science writing. Come to The Caltech Y lounge at 7:30 P.M. and learn how to add style to your writing.

Friday, November 7: a NOON CONCERT with classical flutist Jean Goodlow, accompanied by guitarist Anisa Angarola. This time it's for real! Yes, in Winnett Lounge—bring your lunch.

Saturday, November 8: a DAY HIKE to Mt. Waterman, led by the almost semi-infallible Michael Meyer. Uncle Mike says: "Meet me in the Y lounge at 8:00 A.M., and bring a lunch and water."

Counterpoint

from one

punish "transgressors". Our methods involve attempts to nullify unfair advantage taken, to help the people involved live more effectively under the Honor System, and to protect the other members of the Caltech Community. C'mon, we're the people who sit next to you at dinner and in class (i.e. your friends and peers) not a pack of drooling sadists. We do these things because somebody's got to, not because we like it.

(4) Last of the major pieces of misinformation is the impression that failure to report a suspected violation is by definition an Honor System violation. While Mr. Nichols' other journalistic inaccuracies reflect mainly an inability or unwillingness to clearly report the facts, this particular bit of misdirection indicates careless research of his sources. He is apparently willing to flip through the Honor System booklet in search of incendiary statements but does not see fit to carefully read or accurately report them. What the good book says is that "... The Board will have the right to decide whether the interests of the Honor System were accounted for if a decision not to report a suspected violation has been made. Thus, an individual making such a decision will be held responsible to the Board for explaining his motives for such a decision. The distinction between an individual who carefully considers the interests of the Honor System and one who hears of a violation but fails to report it because he takes an irresponsible "none of my business" attitude should be obvious."

Now that the gross errors have been righted, I can go on to the meat of the issues. In passing, I apologize if I seem too harsh in my criticisms. Though I'm a big fan of open discussion of nearly everything, I have little tolerance for sloppy and misleading journalism.

Mr. Nichols indicts the Honor System on three main counts; the lack of a system of appeals, the lack of specific policy statements from the Board, and an alleged indifference to the motives of the accusers. His points are a mixture of good ideas, poorly thought out ideas, and just plain wrong ideas. I'll try to sort them out, indictment by indictment.

First, Mr. Nichols voices the misconception that a person who feels unjustly treated by the Board has nowhere to turn. This is incorrect. The only power of the Board is the ability to make recommendations to the Dean. If a student feels "screwed" by an unreasonable Board, he can take the facts directly to the Dean. If he has a good case, the Dean will simply not follow the recommendations of this hypothetical bad Board and thus leave them implicitly foaming at the mouth. Further, if a student truly feels he's been mistreated by the BOC, he is not only allowed but honor bound to honestly report his accusations to the Caltech community. Only the Board itself is bound to secrecy. If members of the BOC are acting unfairly, then the community at large must be told so that they can impeach and replace the offending representatives. Moreover, it should be noted that, since the Board works by consensus rather than majority rule, it would take a unanimously unreasonable Board to hand down unreasonable decisions. This possibility is so remote as to render further discussion pointless.

Next, Mr. Nichols bemoans the non-existence of specific guidelines outlining what is and what is not an Honor System violation. Here, he correctly sees some very hazy areas where it's not clear who—if anyone—is taking advantage of whom. The answer is not, however, to inscribe upon iridium bars the n-commandments of Caltech Life. Rather we should be guided by trust and respect for each other's worth as individuals. The real solution

continued on page 3

Computer Science and Electrical Engineering Majors

San Francisco Bay Area company developing state of the art computer software and hardware for integrated voice and data office communications systems seeks talented individuals interested in:

SOFTWARE

- Operating Systems
- Real Time Distributed Computing
- Data Communications
- Office Automation Applications
- Office of the Future Applications
- Diagnostics
- Software Tools

HARDWARE

- Microprocessor Applications
- Digital and Analog Design
- Telephony
- Data Communications

ROLM Corporation, founded in 1969 has grown 50%-100% each year and currently has 3700 employees. ROLM's Telecommunications Division is the leading independent supplier of computer controlled voice and data business communications systems.

Included in ROLM's outstanding benefits package is a three month paid sabbatical after six years (and every seven years thereafter), company paid tuition and time off for graduate study at Stanford University. Employees can take advantage of flexible working hours to use ROLM's million dollar recreational facility which includes tennis courts, racquetball courts, basketball, exercise room, volleyball, swimming pools, par course, sauna, steam room and jacuzzi.

On Campus Interviews FRIDAY, OCTOBER 31

Meet with working software and hardware engineers from ROLM in the Placement Center. See our Company Literature in the Placement Center.

If unable to attend an interview, send resume to: **Gibson Anderson, Manager, Recruiting & Training, ROLM Corporation, 4900 Old Ironsides Drive M/S 560, Santa Clara, CA 95050**

We are an equal opportunity/affirmative action employer.

ROLM CORPORATION

The California Tech

Friday, Oct. 31, 1980
Volume LXXXII Number 6

Published weekly except during examination and vacation periods by the Associated Students of the California Institute of Technology, Inc. The opinions expressed in all articles are strictly those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect those of the editors or of the Tech. ©1980 by the Associated Students of the California Institute of Technology, Inc.

Editor-in-Chief
Stuart Goodnick

Associate Editors
Ollie Graves
Alan Loh
David Younge

Staff Liaison
Grover

Writers

Mignon Belongie, Dwaïn David, Margie Farrell, Tracy Furutani, Les Grate, Lisa Gronier, Peter Grieve, Charles Hershey, Peter Kaminski, Muhammad Khan, John Krahbiel, David LePoire, Doug MacKenzie, Jim Mayhem, Chuck Nichols, Richard Pogge, Steve Robin, Bruce Sams, Lee Sunderlin, Mike Walsh

Cartoonist
Luke Will

Photographers

Ken Gant, Bob Goodrich, Ollie Graves, Grover, Paul Ste. Marie, Steve Swanson

Production
Nick Smith

Business Manager
Gavin Claypool

Circulation Manager
Mikey Thien

The California Tech publications offices are located in rooms 115 and 107 in Winnett Student Center on the Caltech Campus. The address is 107-51, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California 91125. Telephone: (213) 795-6811. Editors - ext. 2153. Business Manager - ext. 2154. Printed by News-Type Service, Glendale, California. Postage paid at Pasadena, California.

Subscriptions
\$2.00 per term
\$5.00 per year
\$100 for life ISSN 0008-1582

Point from two

Let me mention a few hypothetical cases. Say someone kept the key to a room after they checked out, and, noticing that the maid's master key wouldn't work, took pity on the maid and used the key to let her into my room. Did he have reason to believe I wanted the maid to get in? No, because if a master key doesn't open a door in Dabney House, it is because someone changed the lock. Therefore I would have reason to suspect a violation had been committed, according to the BOC policy statement on the unlocking of rooms without permission. Would I tell the BOC? No, I would talk to the person with the spare key to my room and explain my feelings.

Now, what if someone decided a piece of furniture, say in the lounge of a student house, was in disrepair. Suppose further that someone, noting its state, were moved to end its misery in a moment of flaming glory. "Stealing in any form from another student or the Institute is a clear violation," according to the honor system guide. Again I have reason to suspect a violation.

What about showering? I asked Bryan if he thought the practice of showering should be investigated. His answer was "I'm not going to go around nailing everyone on an honor system violation." Was it charity which moved him to ignore showering? Or was it perhaps that he is an officer in a house which condones certain acts of violence when carried out in a ritualistic manner? I certainly don't know. Nevertheless, I, not being as familiar with the vagaries of honor as Bryan is, would also hesitate to report certain sadistic pranks to the BOC. I suspect that in all of the above cases, many Techers would deal with the possible violations without recourse to the BOC. I will go so far as to suggest that large numbers of potential violations go unreported for a large variety of reasons.

My point is that very few cases are heard by the BOC out of all possible violations. Bryan carefully sidestepped the issue by saying the BOC reviewed all the cases which came to its at-

tention. When do cases come to the BOC's attention? Might I not have reported the break-in, the burning, the prank, if I were the rasty type and had it in for the perpetrator? Might not a large percentage of BOC trials be initiated for revenge or spite? There seems to be no interest at all in the motives of the accuser in the ASCIT bylaws, nor is the accuser required to confront the defendant as he would be in U.S. courts. I suspect that often the accuser, unless he observed the violation in hiding, might often be found guilty of some participation in the violation himself, or of a vendetta against the accused. Who among us would claim he has never participated in an unfair action? What kind of man would cast the first stone?

A means of dealing with violations at a lower level is needed. The inequity in reporting violations is a result of the severity of BOC proceedings. In most cases what is really needed is a semi-official reprimand, not a courtroom scene. The BOC representatives could serve this function if they weren't honor-bound to report violations to the BOC. Perhaps such measures already exist among the students. If so, that reality should be reflected in the BOC bylaws.

One last parting shot concerning showering. I'd like to suggest that those who find it appropriate memorize the phrase "I consider it an honor system violation to shower me." If he is then showered, it is a rather clear case of unfair advantage. It would, of course, be unfair for this person to ever shower anyone else thereafter, but that isn't really such a high price to pay, is it?

I would like to thank Bryan Dunkeld for his patience with me and for his considered opinions about the honor system, as well as Rick Snider for his opinions, suggestions, and physical presence. I would like to credit Eric Eichorn, Stuart Goodnick, and Chris Vestuto for their ideas, stories, comments, and criticism. I'd also like to acknowledge all the people who wandered by and made remarks during the discussions which preceded this column.

-Chuck Nichols

Counterpoint from two

to the gray-area problem is critical and frequent consideration of how the Honor System applies to one's own actions. It is inconceivable that a person consciously and actively trying to avoid taking unfair advantage would ever be convicted of a violation. Spelling things out any more explicitly would serve only to erode the concept of mutual trust by implying an inability on our part to act fairly on our own.

Last, we find that Mr. Nichols has somehow divined that the Board blithely ignores the motives of the people who bring cases to us. I can only assure him that his suspicions are groundless. In fact, it is quite possible that an attempt to use the mechanisms of the Honor System to further one's own personal vendettas would be considered a serious Honor System violation since it could do great damage to our old friend, the atmosphere of mutual trust. In all the above allegations, the supposed problems evaporate under rational scrutiny.

Now let's look at the proposed solutions to the alleged problems, ignoring for the moment that the problems themselves have been shown to be illusory. It is my contention that, even were they necessary, the plans would be ineffective.

First, we have the proposed independent review board to handle appeals. Mr. Nichols would have the GRB review the BOC's cases. Here again, he has adopted a simple-minded stance without giving it much thought or study. The truth is that the BOC and GRB function in such vastly different manners that they would find it nearly impossible to make competent judgements on each other's actions. Further, the lives and attitudes of graduates and undergraduates are very different, especially in their conceptions of the Honor System. This chasm of thought would seriously impair the ability of one group to rule intelligently on the actions of the other. In short, this is a cute but silly idea.

We are also treated to Mr. Nichols' plan for a published review of the "disposition" of all Board cases. Since, as I have already made tediously clear, dissatisfied screwees can take their case to the press anytime they choose, the only information gained from this plan would be the results of "fair" decisions or those whose defendants wished to keep them confidential. The only true effect of this scheme would be to jeopardize, because of small numbers of cases and the high visibility of students, the confidentiality insured by the ASCIT by-laws.

The last of Mr. Nichols' proposals is a sub-Board system of dealing with trivial problems and here he answers his own question. Clearly, if all concerned parties can settle among themselves, unfair advantage has already been nullified and the BOC should keep its big nose out of it. Indeed, above-board mediators for such settlements already exist in the form of UCC's, RA's, and house BOC representatives. As with so many of Mr. Nichols' misjudgements, he sees a need for laws, rules, and councils when the situation truly calls for reasonable, rational behavior on the part of the individuals involved.

A pattern should be emerging from all these criticisms. Mr. Nichols seems consistently unable to believe that people can behave honorably in the absence of binding litigation. If he's right, then the Honor System is foolish and misguided. I believe he's wrong. I've shown his arguments to be full of holes. In fairness, I should add that Mr. Nichols' questions about the limits to aggression and to membership in the Caltech community are quite astute and I will give them the attention they deserve in another article. Finally, I'd like to allay Mr. Nichols' fears of prosecution for slander. He should worry, though, about having his much-brandished literary license revoked on the grounds of poor prose, muddled thinking, and a penchant for choosing flammability over accuracy in his writing.

-R. C. Colgrove
BOC Rep-at-Large

The New Ice House

\$1.50 IMPOSSIBILITY!

A LIVE 3 ACT SHOW, PLUS OUR LIVE VERSION OF "THE DATING GAME" ALL FOR \$1.50

Wednesdays only at Pasadena's famous comedy nightclub the new "ICE HOUSE," admission for students over 17 is \$1.50. See America's hottest comedians such as; Gallagher, Bruce "Babyman" Baum, and many more performing live in an intimate atmosphere. Music and Magic too! Superb food and drink!

regular admission wed-sun \$3.95 & \$4.95

24 HR CELEBRITY LAUGH LINE 449-0096

24 N. Mentor Ave., Pasadena

Program information: 449-4053 . . . reservations (after 4 pm): 681-1923

ASCIT MOVIE

Friday Night 7:30 & 10:00
ASCIT Members 50¢ Others \$1.00
Baxter Lecture Hall

Animal Crackers

Next Week
AGATHA CHRISTIE'S
"MURDER ON THE ORIENT EXPRESS"

Buy Caltech Cards
and save 20%

CALTECH'S

Buy Caltech Cards
and save 20%

BURGER CONTINENTAL

will offer you a deal you cannot refuse.



Seniors!
Last Day Today
for a
Free Root Beer Float

good food at reasonable prices
refills on soft drinks at all times
seconds on salad bar

SPECIALTIES: SHISH-KABOB, SHAORMA, SOUVLAKI-STEAK
HOMEMADE PASTRIES: BAKLAVA, BURMA, AND NAPOLEONS

For Entire Month of November:
A Free Root Beer Float to Freshmen and Sophomores

Faculty, Grad Students, Attend!
Mondays and Tuesdays are Beer Days. Buy 1 pitcher, get the second one free!

On Lake Avenue
1/2 Block North of California

all the news that fits in print

SAC Headquarters

ASCIT is looking for editors. This is, or maybe it isn't your last chance to sign up and become editor of the BIG T or little t.

Editing the little t also requires work, but is possibly easier than that of the BIG T. Most little t work will entail rewording or rewriting past entries in the little t, learning how to use the California Tech typesetter, and laying out photo-ready copy.

BONUS for the BIG T and Little t: \$100 dollars each upon the arrival of a publication which meets the approval of the ASCIT BOD.

Apply To Become WISE Applications are being accepted for the 1981 WISE student participants (Washington Internships for Students of Engineering).

Postage paid at Pasadena, California. The California Tech is published weekly except during examination and vacation periods by the Associated Students of the California Institute of Technology, Inc., Winnett Student Center, Caltech 107-51, Pasadena, California 91125.

CASCIT Party Friday, Oct. 31 (Halloween) there will be a Halloween Party (logical?) on the 2nd floor of Old Gates (condemned building west of the horizontal pillar).

Lost 4" by 6" gray plastic card file box, in Winnett Center. If found, please contact Russell Schmalenberger, mail code 1-60 (Blacker) or phone 356-9208.

The War After The War To End War, Repeated

World War II is resumed Saturday night at 7:30 in Dabney Hall when the Caltech Gamers hold their first naval miniatures game of the year.

Flicks

Tuesday, November 4, Professor Andreas Aebi will show a film titled Perceval le Gaulois, by Erich Rohmer, at 7:30 pm in Baxter Lecture Hall.

Trick or Treat

Halloween is on its way, so is the first Caltech blood drive. As usual the kind people from the Red Cross will be treating the house which donates the most blood to a keg of beer.

This term the Caltech Red Cross Blood Bank will be available for Caltech donors in Dabney Lounge on Thursday and Friday, November 6th and 7th, from 9:45 am to 2:30 pm.

Found Digital watch. Found on softball field nearest gym on 10/16. Please identify maker and color to claim. Contact Jimmy Kuo at 132 Lloyd.

More Hahvahd

The Harvard Business School Applicant Relations Committee of Los Angeles is holding an open house on Monday, November 10th for students who are interested in applying to the Harvard MBA program.

GDG Meet Postponed

Due to Halloween and midterms, there will not be a meeting this Friday. Next meeting Friday, Nov. 7 in Clubroom 2 at 7 pm.

Classified Ads

FOR RENT

Furnished one-bedroom. Quiet area (between 210 and Villa). Rent \$255.00 + s/d., utilities paid. Window air, carport. Call 355-3571 for appointment.

Comfortable, clean one-bedroom. Quiet area. Rent \$245.00 + s/d. Call 355-3571 for appointment. 770 E. Washington #5 (near Lake). No stove/refrigerator. Apartment has CARPORT, no air conditioning.

FOR SALE

GENE RUBIN AUDIO. We carry only those products which provide highest quality and value. We feature: PS Audio, Thiel, NAD, 3D Acoustics, Chartwell LS3/5A, Spondor, Cizek, Spica, VPI, Compnoiseur, KM Labs, Dynavector, Grace, LAST, Live Wire, Platter Matter, and more. M/C & VISA (213) 571-1299.

SERVICES

PROFESSIONAL TYPING by executive secretary. IBM Selectric. Resumes, theses, reports, MSS. Grammar, spelling corrected. Fast, dependable, conscientious. Call 257-4300.

TYPING AND EDITING SERVICE by English Lit graduate student. IBM Electronic 50. Close to Caltech and service available day or evening. If you reach an answer machine, leave name, number, brief message and convenient time to reach you. I'll call back. Call 799-3976.

HELP WANTED

DOG-LOVING STUDENT to house sit, feed and befriend two Rottweilers, while we take occasional trips. Ten-minute drive from Tech. Duties will not interfere with studies or employment. 799-5669.

STUDENT SHOP MEMBERS! This is your chance for easy money! The Tech has some small projects requiring carpenter or household construction skills. Small amount of time involved, good money. Better than working on Interhouse. Leave note for business manager in Tech office or call x2154.

OPPORTUNITIES

WE NEED YOUR HELP. Learn to earn up to \$500 per thousand stuffing envelopes. We show you how. Details: send 25c and SASE to: GELD, P.O. Box 2124, Yorba Linda, CA 92686. (Please mark envelope with "G/6".)

Buying or selling something? You, too, can take out an ad in The California Tech! \$2.50 per inch plus 40c per extra line for Classifieds. Bring ad copy to the Tech office or call extension 2154. O.K.?

Make a date with advanced technology. The Aerospace Corporation will be on campus November 19. See your placement office. Includes a calendar graphic with November 19 circled and the Aerospace Corporation logo.

THE HAIR CUTTERS. HIS AND HERS CUT-EVENINGS. 449-6967 449-1022. 1009 E. COLORADO PASADENA NEXT TO THE ACADEMY THEATRE PARKING IN REAR.

Enjoy Lunch & Dinner And Pizza With Us Espresso Cappuccino or Take It Out. PRIMO Italian Specialties Gourmet. 350 S. Lake Ave. Pasadena 795-4749. In the Colonnade Mall across from Bullock's. Monday-Saturday 10 a.m.-8:30 p.m.

WITH THIS COUPON - GOOD AFTER 5 P.M. LIMIT ONE COUPON PER CUSTOMER. You may buy ONE drink in a glass beer or wine, or a soft drink for 5c with purchase of any dinner or pizza.